

December 2006

Dear Health Care Professional:

**Re: Congestive Heart Failure and Left Ventricular Dysfunction in Glivec Treated Patients**

Novartis in agreement with the European Medicines Agency (EMA) and the Irish Medicines Board, is writing to inform you about an article published in Nature Medicine<sup>1</sup> concerning Glivec® (imatinib)\*, which recently received media coverage. Because this article may generate questions from your patients, we want to give you an overview of the available information.

In the article, the authors reported that ten patients treated with imatinib developed severe congestive heart failure and left ventricular dysfunction. Supplemental review of the patient case histories shows that most patients had pre-existing conditions including hypertension, diabetes and prior coronary artery disease, and had received other drugs. The same article reports that imatinib-treated mice developed left ventricular contractile dysfunction, and that imatinib also induced cell death in isolated cardiomyocytes. The authors suggest that development of cardiac dysfunction may be related to inhibition of the Abl kinase, which triggers a stress response in cardiomyocytes and induces cell death.

Subsequent to the publication of this article Novartis has further evaluated all available data from clinical trials and spontaneous reporting. The frequency of cardiac failure in the clinical studies remains consistent with the current EU-SPC, in which section 4.8 (undesirable effects) lists cardiac failure as “uncommon” (ie, occurring in  $\geq 1/1000$  to  $\leq 1/100$  of the treated patients). Most patients with reported cardiac failure have had other co-morbidities and risk factors, including advanced age and previous medical history of cardiac disease. In an international randomised phase III study of 1106 patients with newly diagnosed Ph+CML (Philadelphia chromosome positive chronic myeloid leukemia) in chronic phase, severe cardiac failure and left ventricular dysfunction was recorded in 0.7% of patients taking Glivec compared to 0.9% of patients taking IFN+Ara-C (interferon-alpha and cytosine-arabioside).

On the basis of the relevant data above, the EU-SPC has recently been updated, with the following warning to section 4.4 (special warning and precautions for use):

**“Patients with cardiac disease or risk factors for cardiac failure should be monitored carefully, and any patient with signs or symptoms consistent with cardiac failure should be evaluated and treated”.**

Novartis will continue to inform Drug Regulatory Authorities of any new safety information that becomes available, including any upcoming investigations on cardiotoxicity.


In the meantime, healthcare professionals should continue to report all serious adverse events suspected to be associated with the use of Glivec to the marketing authorisation holder (Novartis Ireland Limited see address below), Novartis Europharm, and/or the IMB at the following address:

Pharmacovigilance Section,  
Irish Medicines Board,  
Kevin O'Malley House,  
Earlsfort Centre,  
Earlsfort Terrace,  
Dublin 2.


Enclosed is the currently approved prescribing information, the Summary of Product Characteristics (SPC), for Glivec.

Yours sincerely,

Novartis Ireland Limited



Hakan Granlund  
Medical Director



Ann-Marie Cullen  
Clinical Safety Officer

Novartis Ireland Ltd.,  
Beech House  
Beech Hill Office Campus  
Clonskeagh  
Dublin 4  
Tel: 01 2080612  
Fax: 01 2838777

References:

(1) Cardiotoxicity of the cancer therapeutic agent imatinib mesylate. Kerkela R, Grazette L, Yacobi R et al. Nature Medicine 12, 908-916.

## **1. NAME OF THE MEDICINAL PRODUCT**

Glivec 100 mg film-coated tablets  
Glivec 400 mg film-coated tablets

## **2. QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE COMPOSITION**

Glivec 100 mg film-coated tablets: Each film-coated tablet contains 100 mg imatinib (as mesilate).

Glivec 400 mg film-coated tablets: Each film-coated tablet contains 400 mg imatinib (as mesilate).

For excipients, see 6.1.

## **3. PHARMACEUTICAL FORM**

Film-coated tablets

Glivec 100 mg film-coated tablets: Very dark yellow to brownish-orange film-coated tablet, round with "NVR" on one side and "SA" and score on the other side.

Glivec 400 mg film-coated tablets: Very dark yellow to brownish-orange, ovaloid, biconvex film-coated tablet with bevelled edges, debossed with "NVR" on one side and "SL" on the other side.

## **4. CLINICAL PARTICULARS**

### **4.1 Therapeutic indications**

Glivec is indicated for the treatment of

- adult and paediatric patients with newly diagnosed Philadelphia chromosome (bcr-abl) positive (Ph+) chronic myeloid leukaemia (CML) for whom bone marrow transplantation is not considered as the first line of treatment (for paediatric use see section 4.2).
- adult and paediatric patients with Ph+ CML in chronic phase after failure of interferon-alpha therapy, or in accelerated phase or blast crisis (for paediatric use see section 4.2).
- adult patients with newly diagnosed Philadelphia chromosome positive acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (Ph+ ALL) integrated with chemotherapy.
- adult patients with relapsed or refractory Ph+ ALL as monotherapy.
- adult patients with myelodysplastic/myeloproliferative diseases (MDS/MPD) associated with platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR) gene re-arrangements.
- adult patients with advanced hypereosinophilic syndrome (HES) and/or chronic eosinophilic leukaemia (CEL) with FIP1L1-PDGFR $\alpha$  rearrangement.

The effect of Glivec on the outcome of bone marrow transplantation has not been determined.

Glivec is also indicated for the treatment of

- adult patients with Kit (CD 117) positive unresectable and/or metastatic malignant gastrointestinal stromal tumours (GIST).
- adult patients with unresectable dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans (DFSP) and adult

patients with recurrent and/or metastatic DFSP who are not eligible for surgery.

In adult and paediatric patients, the effectiveness of Glivec is based on overall haematological and cytogenetic response rates and progression-free survival in CML, on haematological and cytogenetic response rates in Ph+ ALL, MDS/MPD, on haematological response rates in HES/CEL and on objective response rates in adult patients with GIST and DFSP. The experience with Glivec in patients with MDS/MPD associated with PDGFR gene rearrangements is very limited (see section 5.1). Except in newly diagnosed chronic phase CML, there are no controlled trials demonstrating a clinical benefit or increased survival for these diseases.

#### **4.2 Posology and method of administration**

Therapy should be initiated by a physician experienced in the treatment of patients with haematological malignancies and malignant sarcomas, as appropriate.

For doses of 400 mg and above (see dosage recommendation below) a 400 mg tablet (not divisible) is available.

The prescribed dose should be administered orally with a meal and a large glass of water to minimise the risk of gastrointestinal irritations. Doses of 400 mg or 600 mg should be administered once daily, whereas a daily dose of 800 mg should be administered as 400 mg twice a day, in the morning and in the evening.

For patients unable to swallow the film-coated tablets, the tablets may be dispersed in a glass of mineral water or apple juice. The required number of tablets should be placed in the appropriate volume of beverage (approximately 50 ml for a 100 mg tablet, and 200 ml for a 400 mg tablet) and stirred with a spoon. The suspension should be administered immediately after complete disintegration of the tablet(s).

##### Posology for CML in adult patients

The recommended dosage of Glivec is 400 mg/day for patients in chronic phase CML. Chronic phase CML is defined when all of the following criteria are met: blasts < 15% in blood and bone marrow, peripheral blood basophils < 20%, platelets > 100 x 10<sup>9</sup>/l.

The recommended dosage of Glivec is 600 mg/day for patients in accelerated phase. Accelerated phase is defined by the presence of any of the following: blasts ≥ 15% but < 30% in blood or bone marrow, blasts plus promyelocytes ≥ 30% in blood or bone marrow (providing < 30% blasts), peripheral blood basophils ≥ 20%, platelets < 100 x 10<sup>9</sup>/l unrelated to therapy.

The recommended dose of Glivec is 600 mg/day for patients in blast crisis. Blast crisis is defined as blasts ≥ 30% in blood or bone marrow or extramedullary disease other than hepatosplenomegaly.

Treatment duration: In clinical trials, treatment with Glivec was continued until disease progression. The effect of stopping treatment after the achievement of a complete cytogenetic response has not been investigated.

Dose increases from 400 mg to 600 mg or 800 mg in patients with chronic phase disease, or from 600 mg to a maximum of 800 mg (given as 400 mg twice daily) in patients with accelerated phase or blast crisis may be considered in the absence of severe adverse drug reaction and severe non-leukaemia-related neutropenia or thrombocytopenia in the following circumstances: disease progression (at any time); failure to achieve a satisfactory

haematological response after at least 3 months of treatment; failure to achieve a cytogenetic response after 12 months of treatment; or loss of a previously achieved haematological and/or cytogenetic response. Patients should be monitored closely following dose escalation given the potential for an increased incidence of adverse reactions at higher dosages.

#### Posology for CML in children

Dosing for children should be on the basis of body surface area ( $\text{mg}/\text{m}^2$ ). The dose of  $340 \text{ mg}/\text{m}^2$  daily is recommended for children with chronic phase CML and advanced phase CML (not to exceed the total dose of 800 mg). Treatment can be given as a once daily dose or alternatively the daily dose may be split into two administrations – one in the morning and one in the evening. The dose recommendation is currently based on a small number of paediatric patients (see sections 5.1 and 5.2). There is no experience with the treatment of children below 2 years of age.

Dose increases from  $340 \text{ mg}/\text{m}^2$  daily to  $570 \text{ mg}/\text{m}^2$  daily (not to exceed the total dose of 800 mg) may be considered in children in the absence of severe adverse drug reaction and severe non-leukaemia-related neutropenia or thrombocytopenia in the following circumstances: disease progression (at any time); failure to achieve a satisfactory haematological response after at least 3 months of treatment; failure to achieve a cytogenetic response after 12 months of treatment; or loss of a previously achieved haematological and/or cytogenetic response. Patients should be monitored closely following dose escalation given the potential for an increased incidence of adverse reactions at higher dosages.

#### Posology for Ph+ ALL

The recommended dose of Glivec is 600 mg/day for patients with Ph+ ALL. Haematological experts in the management of this disease should supervise the therapy throughout all phases of care.

Treatment schedule: On the basis of the existing data, Glivec has been shown to be effective and safe when administered at 600 mg/day in combination with chemotherapy in the induction phase, the consolidation and maintenance phases of chemotherapy (see section 5.1) for adult patients with newly diagnosed Ph+ ALL. The duration of Glivec therapy can vary with the treatment programme selected, but generally longer exposures to Glivec have yielded better results.

For adult patients with relapsed or refractory Ph+ALL Glivec monotherapy at 600 mg/day is safe, effective and can be given until disease progression occurs.

#### Posology for MDS/MPD

The recommended dose of Glivec is 400 mg/day for patients with MDS/MPD.

Treatment duration: In the only clinical trial performed up to now, treatment with Glivec was continued until disease progression (see section 5.1). At the time of analysis, the treatment duration was a median of 47 months (24 days - 60 months).

#### Posology for HES/CEL

The recommended dose of Glivec is 100 mg/day for patients with HES/CEL.

Dose increase from 100 mg to 400 mg may be considered in the absence of adverse drug reactions if assessments demonstrate an insufficient response to therapy.

#### Posology for GIST

The recommended dose of Glivec is 400 mg/day for patients with unresectable and/or metastatic malignant GIST.

Limited data exist on the effect of dose increases from 400 mg to 600 mg or 800 mg in patients progressing at the lower dose (see section 5.1).

There are currently no data available to support specific dosing recommendations for GIST patients based on prior gastrointestinal resection. The majority (98%) of patients in the clinical trial (see section 5.2) had had prior resection. For all patients on the study, there was at least a two-week interval between resection and the first dose of Glivec administered; however, no additional recommendations can be made based on this study.

Treatment duration: In clinical trials in GIST patients, treatment with Glivec was continued until disease progression. At the time of analysis, the treatment duration was a median of 7 months (7 days to 13 months). The effect of stopping treatment after achieving a response has not been investigated.

#### Posology for DFSP

The recommended dose of Glivec is 800 mg/day for patients with DFSP.

#### Dose adjustment for adverse reactions

##### *Non-haematological adverse reactions*

If a severe non-haematological adverse reaction develops with Glivec use, treatment must be withheld until the event has resolved. Thereafter, treatment can be resumed as appropriate depending on the initial severity of the event.

If elevations in bilirubin > 3 x institutional upper limit of normal (IULN) or in liver transaminases > 5 x IULN occur, Glivec should be withheld until bilirubin levels have returned to < 1.5 x IULN and transaminase levels to < 2.5 x IULN. Treatment with Glivec may then be continued at a reduced daily dose. In adults the dose should be reduced from 400 to 300 mg or from 600 to 400 mg, or from 800 mg to 600 mg, and in children from 340 to 260 mg/m<sup>2</sup>/day.

##### *Haematological adverse reactions*

Dose reduction or treatment interruption for severe neutropenia and thrombocytopenia are recommended as indicated in the table below.

Dose adjustments for neutropenia and thrombocytopenia:

HES/CEL (starting dose 100 mg)	ANC < 1.0 x 10 <sup>9</sup> /l and/or platelets < 50 x 10 <sup>9</sup> /l	1. Stop Glivec until ANC ≥ 1.5 x 10 <sup>9</sup> /l and platelets ≥ 75 x 10 <sup>9</sup> /l. 2. Resume treatment with Glivec at previous dose (i.e. before severe adverse reaction).
Chronic phase CML, MDS/MPD and GIST (starting dose 400 mg) HES/CEL (at dose 400 mg)	ANC < 1.0 x 10 <sup>9</sup> /l and/or platelets < 50 x 10 <sup>9</sup> /l	1. Stop Glivec until ANC ≥ 1.5 x 10 <sup>9</sup> /l and platelets ≥ 75 x 10 <sup>9</sup> /l. 2. Resume treatment with Glivec at previous dose (i.e. before severe adverse reaction). 3. In the event of recurrence of ANC < 1.0 x 10 <sup>9</sup> /l and/or platelets < 50 x 10 <sup>9</sup> /l, repeat step 1 and resume Glivec at reduced dose of 300 mg.
Paediatric chronic phase CML (at dose 340 mg/m <sup>2</sup> )	ANC < 1.0 x 10 <sup>9</sup> /l and/or platelets < 50 x 10 <sup>9</sup> /l	1. Stop Glivec until ANC ≥ 1.5 x 10 <sup>9</sup> /l and platelets ≥ 75 x 10 <sup>9</sup> /l. 2. Resume treatment with Glivec at

		<p>previous dose (i.e. before severe adverse reaction).</p> <p>3. In the event of recurrence of ANC <math>&lt; 1.0 \times 10^9/l</math> and/or platelets <math>&lt; 50 \times 10^9/l</math>, repeat step 1 and resume Glivec at reduced dose of <math>260 \text{ mg/m}^2</math>.</p>
Accelerated phase CML and blast crisis and Ph+ ALL (starting dose 600 mg)	<sup>a</sup> ANC $< 0.5 \times 10^9/l$ and/or platelets $< 10 \times 10^9/l$	<p>1. Check whether cytopenia is related to leukaemia (marrow aspirate or biopsy).</p> <p>2. If cytopenia is unrelated to leukaemia, reduce dose of Glivec to 400 mg.</p> <p>3. If cytopenia persists for 2 weeks, reduce further to 300 mg.</p> <p>4. If cytopenia persists for 4 weeks and is still unrelated to leukaemia, stop Glivec until ANC <math>\geq 1 \times 10^9/l</math> and platelets <math>\geq 20 \times 10^9/l</math>, then resume treatment at 300 mg.</p>
Paediatric accelerated phase CML and blast crisis (starting dose $340 \text{ mg/m}^2$ )	<sup>a</sup> ANC $< 0.5 \times 10^9/l$ and/or platelets $< 10 \times 10^9/l$	<p>1. Check whether cytopenia is related to leukaemia (marrow aspirate or biopsy).</p> <p>2. If cytopenia is unrelated to leukaemia, reduce dose of Glivec to <math>260 \text{ mg/m}^2</math>.</p> <p>3. If cytopenia persists for 2 weeks, reduce further to <math>200 \text{ mg/m}^2</math>.</p> <p>4. If cytopenia persists for 4 weeks and is still unrelated to leukaemia, stop Glivec until ANC <math>\geq 1 \times 10^9/l</math> and platelets <math>\geq 20 \times 10^9/l</math>, then resume treatment at <math>200 \text{ mg/m}^2</math>.</p>
DFSP (at dose 800 mg)	ANC $< 1.0 \times 10^9/l$ and/or platelets $< 50 \times 10^9/l$	<p>1. Stop Glivec until ANC <math>\geq 1.5 \times 10^9/l</math> and platelets <math>\geq 75 \times 10^9/l</math>.</p> <p>2. Resume treatment with Glivec at 600 mg.</p> <p>3. In the event of recurrence of ANC <math>&lt; 1.0 \times 10^9/l</math> and/or platelets <math>&lt; 50 \times 10^9/l</math>, repeat step 1 and resume Glivec at reduced dose of 400 mg.</p>
<p>ANC = absolute neutrophil count</p> <p><sup>a</sup> occurring after at least 1 month of treatment</p>		

Paediatric use: There is no experience in children with CML below 2 years of age (see section 5.1). There is limited experience in children with Ph+ ALL and very limited experience in children with MDS/MPD and DFSP. There is no experience in children or adolescents with GIST and HES/CEL.

Hepatic insufficiency: Imatinib is mainly metabolised through the liver. Patients with mild, moderate or severe liver dysfunction should be given the minimum recommended dose of 400 mg daily. The dose can be reduced if not tolerated (see sections 4.4, 4.8 and 5.2).

Liver dysfunction classification:

Liver dysfunction  
Mild

Liver function tests  
Total bilirubin: = 1.5 ULN  
AST:  $>ULN$  (can be normal or  $<ULN$  if total bilirubin is  $>ULN$ )

Moderate	Total bilirubin: >1.5–3.0 ULN AST: any
Severe	Total bilirubin: >3–10 ULN AST: any

ULN = upper limit of normal for the institution  
AST = aspartate aminotransferase

Renal insufficiency: Since the renal clearance of imatinib is negligible, a decrease in free imatinib clearance is not expected in patients with renal insufficiency. Patients with mild or moderate renal dysfunction (creatinine clearance = 20–59 ml/min) should be given the minimum recommended dose of 400 mg daily as starting dose. Although very limited information is available, patients with severe renal dysfunction (creatinine clearance = < 20 ml/min) or on dialysis could also start at the same dose of 400 mg. However, in these patients caution is recommended. The dose can be reduced if not tolerated, or increased for lack of efficacy (see sections 4.4 and 5.2).

Elderly patients: Imatinib pharmacokinetics have not been specifically studied in the elderly. No significant age-related pharmacokinetic differences have been observed in adult patients in clinical trials which included over 20% of patients age 65 and older. No specific dose recommendation is necessary in the elderly.

#### 4.3 Contraindications

Hypersensitivity to the active substance or to any of the excipients.

#### 4.4 Special warnings and precautions for use

When Glivec is co-administered with other medicinal products, there is a potential for drug interactions (see section 4.5).

Concomitant use of imatinib and medicinal products that induce CYP3A4 (e.g. dexamethasone, phenytoin, carbamazepine, rifampicin, phenobarbital or *Hypericum perforatum*, also known as St. John's Wort) may significantly reduce exposure to Glivec, potentially increasing the risk of therapeutic failure. Therefore, concomitant use of strong CYP3A4 inducers and imatinib should be avoided (see section 4.5).

Clinical cases of hypothyroidism have been reported in thyroidectomy patients undergoing levothyroxine replacement during treatment with Glivec (see section 4.5). TSH levels should be closely monitored in such patients.

Metabolism of Glivec is mainly hepatic, and only 13% of excretion is through the kidneys. In patients with hepatic dysfunction (mild, moderate or severe), peripheral blood counts and liver enzymes should be carefully monitored (see sections 4.2, 4.8 and 5.2). It should be noted that GIST patients may have hepatic metastases which could lead to hepatic impairment.

When imatinib is combined with high dose chemotherapy regimens in Ph+ ALL patients, transient liver toxicity in the form of transaminase elevation and hyperbilirubinaemia can be observed. Monitoring of liver function should be considered in circumstances where imatinib is combined with chemotherapy regimens also known to be associated with hepatic dysfunction (see section 4.5 and 4.8).

Occurrences of severe fluid retention (pleural effusion, oedema, pulmonary oedema, ascites, superficial oedema) have been reported in approximately 2.5% of newly diagnosed CML patients taking Glivec. Therefore, it is highly recommended that patients be weighed regularly. An unexpected rapid weight gain should be carefully investigated and if necessary



appropriate supportive care and therapeutic measures should be undertaken. In clinical trials, there was an increased incidence of these events in elderly patients and those with a prior history of cardiac disease. Therefore, caution should be exercised in patients with cardiac dysfunction.

Patients with cardiac disease or risk factors for cardiac failure should be monitored carefully, and any patient with signs or symptoms consistent with cardiac failure should be evaluated and treated.

In patients with hypereosinophilic syndrome (HES) and cardiac involvement, isolated cases of cardiogenic shock/left ventricular dysfunction have been associated with the initiation of imatinib therapy. The condition was reported to be reversible with the administration of systemic steroids, circulatory support measures and temporarily withholding imatinib. As cardiac adverse events have been reported uncommonly with imatinib, a careful assessment of the benefit/risk of imatinib therapy should be considered in the HES/CEL population before treatment initiation. Myelodysplastic/myeloproliferative diseases with PDGFR gene rearrangements could be associated with high eosinophil levels. Evaluation by a cardiology specialist, performance of an echocardiogram and determination of serum troponin should therefore be considered in patients with HES/CEL, and in patients with MDS/MPD associated with high eosinophil levels before imatinib is administered. If either is abnormal, follow-up with a cardiology specialist and the prophylactic use of systemic steroids (1–2 mg/kg) for one to two weeks concomitantly with imatinib should be considered at the initiation of therapy.

In the GIST clinical trial, both gastrointestinal and intra-tumoural haemorrhages were reported (see section 4.8). Based on the available data, no predisposing factors (e.g. tumour size, tumour location, coagulation disorders) have been identified that place patients with GIST at a higher risk of either type of haemorrhage. Since increased vascularity and propensity for bleeding is a part of the nature and clinical course of GIST, standard practices and procedures for the monitoring and management of haemorrhage in all patients should be applied.

#### Laboratory tests

Complete blood counts must be performed regularly during therapy with Glivec. Treatment of CML patients with Glivec has been associated with neutropenia or thrombocytopenia. However, the occurrence of these cytopenias is likely to be related to the stage of the disease being treated and they were more frequent in patients with accelerated phase CML or blast crisis as compared to patients with chronic phase CML. Treatment with Glivec may be interrupted or the dose may be reduced, as recommended in section 4.2.

Liver function (transaminases, bilirubin, alkaline phosphatase) should be monitored regularly in patients receiving Glivec.

In patients with impaired renal function, imatinib plasma exposure seems to be higher than that in patients with normal renal function, probably due to an elevated plasma level of alpha-acid glycoprotein (AGP), an imatinib-binding protein, in these patients. Patients with renal impairment should be given the minimum starting dose. Patients with severe renal impairment should be treated with caution. The dose can be reduced if not tolerated (see section 4.2 and 5.2).

#### **4.5 Interaction with other medicinal products and other forms of interaction**

##### Active substances that may increase imatinib plasma concentrations:

Substances that inhibit the cytochrome P450 isoenzyme CYP3A4 activity (e.g. ketoconazole, itraconazole, erythromycin, clarithromycin) could decrease metabolism and increase imatinib concentrations. There was a significant increase in exposure to imatinib (the mean  $C_{max}$  and

AUC of imatinib rose by 26% and 40%, respectively) in healthy subjects when it was co-administered with a single dose of ketoconazole (a CYP3A4 inhibitor). Caution should be taken when administering Glivec with inhibitors of the CYP3A4 family.

**Active substances that may decrease imatinib plasma concentrations:**

Substances that are inducers of CYP3A4 activity could increase metabolism and decrease imatinib plasma concentrations. Co-medications which induce CYP3A4 (e.g. dexamethasone, phenytoin, carbamazepine, rifampicin, phenobarbital or *Hypericum perforatum*, also known as St. John's Wort) may significantly reduce exposure to Glivec, potentially increasing the risk of therapeutic failure. Pretreatment with multiple doses of rifampicin 600 mg followed by a single 400 mg dose of Glivec resulted in decrease in  $C_{max}$  and  $AUC_{(0-\infty)}$  by at least 54% and 74%, of the respective values without rifampicin treatment. Concomitant use of rifampicin or other strong CYP3A4 inducers and imatinib should be avoided.

**Active substances that may have their plasma concentration altered by Glivec**

Imatinib increases the mean  $C_{max}$  and AUC of simvastatin (CYP3A4 substrate) 2- and 3.5-fold, respectively, indicating an inhibition of the CYP3A4 by imatinib. Therefore, caution is recommended when administering Glivec with CYP3A4 substrates with a narrow therapeutic window (e.g. cyclosporin or pimozide). Glivec may increase plasma concentration of other CYP3A4 metabolised drugs (e.g. triazolo-benzodiazepines, dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers, certain HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors, i.e. statins, etc.).

Because warfarin is metabolised by CYP2C9, patients who require anticoagulation should receive low-molecular-weight or standard heparin.

*In vitro* Glivec inhibits the cytochrome P450 isoenzyme CYP2D6 activity at concentrations similar to those that affect CYP3A4 activity. Systemic exposure to substrates of CYP2D6 is therefore potentially increased when co-administered with Glivec. No specific studies have been performed however and caution is recommended.

*In vitro*, Glivec inhibits paracetamol O-glucuronidation ( $K_i$  value of 58.5 micromol/l at therapeutic levels).

Caution should therefore be exercised when using Glivec and paracetamol concomitantly, especially with high doses of paracetamol.

In thyroidectomy patients receiving levothyroxine, the plasma exposure to levothyroxine may be decreased when Glivec is co-administered (see section 4.4). Caution is therefore recommended. However, the mechanism of the observed interaction is presently unknown.

In Ph+ ALL patients, there is clinical experience of co-administering Glivec with chemotherapy (see section 5.1), but drug-drug interactions between imatinib and chemotherapy regimens are not well characterised. Imatinib adverse events, i.e. hepatotoxicity, myelosuppression or others, may increase and it has been reported that concomitant use with L-asparaginase could be associated with increased hepatotoxicity (see section 4.8). Therefore, the use of Glivec in combination requires special precaution.

## **4.6 Pregnancy and lactation**

### **Pregnancy**

There are no adequate data on the use of imatinib in pregnant women. Studies in animals have however shown reproductive toxicity (see section 5.3) and the potential risk for the foetus is unknown. Glivec should not be used during pregnancy unless clearly necessary. If it is used during pregnancy, the patient must be informed of the potential risk to the foetus. Women of childbearing potential must be advised to use effective contraception during treatment.

### Lactation

It is not known whether imatinib is excreted in human milk. In animals, imatinib and/or its metabolites were extensively excreted in milk. Women who are taking Glivec should therefore not breast-feed.

### **4.7 Effects on ability to drive and use machines**

No studies on the effects on the ability to drive and use machines have been performed. However, patients should be advised that they may experience undesirable effects such as dizziness or blurred vision during treatment with imatinib. Therefore, caution should be recommended when driving a car or operating machinery.

### **4.8 Undesirable effects**

Patients with advanced stages of malignancies may have numerous confounding medical conditions that make causality of adverse reactions difficult to assess due to the variety of symptoms related to the underlying disease, its progression, and the co-administration of numerous medicinal products.

In clinical trials in CML, drug discontinuation for drug-related adverse reactions was observed in 2.4% of newly diagnosed patients, 4% of patients in late chronic phase after failure of interferon therapy, 4% of patients in accelerated phase after failure of interferon therapy and 5% of blast crisis patients after failure of interferon therapy. In GIST the study drug was discontinued for drug-related adverse reactions in 4% of patients.

The adverse reactions were similar in all indications, with two exceptions. There was more myelosuppression seen in CML patients than in GIST, which is probably due to the underlying disease. In the GIST clinical trial, 7 (5%) patients experienced CTC grade 3/4 GI bleeds (3 patients), intra-tumoural bleeds (3 patients) or both (1 patient). GI tumour sites may have been the source of the GI bleeds (see section 4.4). GI and tumoural bleeding may be serious and sometimes fatal. The most commonly reported ( $\geq 10\%$ ) drug-related adverse reactions in both settings were mild nausea, vomiting, diarrhoea, abdominal pain, fatigue, myalgia, muscle cramps and rash. Superficial oedemas were a common finding in all studies and were described primarily as periorbital or lower limb oedemas. However, these oedemas were rarely severe and may be managed with diuretics, other supportive measures, or by reducing the dose of Glivec.

When imatinib was combined with high dose chemotherapy in Ph+ ALL patients, transient liver toxicity in the form of transaminase elevation and hyperbilirubinaemia were observed.

Miscellaneous adverse reactions such as pleural effusion, ascites, pulmonary oedema and rapid weight gain with or without superficial oedema may be collectively described as "fluid retention". These reactions can usually be managed by withholding Glivec temporarily and with diuretics and other appropriate supportive care measures. However, some of these reactions may be serious or life-threatening and several patients with blast crisis died with a complex clinical history of pleural effusion, congestive heart failure and renal failure. There were no special safety findings in paediatric clinical trials.

### **Adverse reactions**

Adverse reactions reported as more than an isolated case are listed below, by system organ class and by frequency. Frequencies are defined as: very common ( $>1/10$ ), common ( $>1/100$ ,  $\leq 1/10$ ), uncommon ( $>1/1,000$ ,  $\leq 1/100$ ), rare ( $\leq 1/1,000$ ), not known (cannot be estimated from the available data).

Within each frequency grouping, undesirable effects are presented in order of decreasing seriousness.

	<u>Very Common</u>	<u>Common</u>	<u>Uncommon</u>	<u>Rare</u>	<u>Not known</u>
<u>Infections and infestations</u>			Sepsis, pneumonia, herpes simplex, herpes zoster, upper respiratory tract infection, gastroenteritis		
<u>Neoplasms benign, malignant and unspecified (including cysts and polyps)</u>				Tumour haemorrhage, tumour necrosis	
<u>Blood and lymphatic system disorders</u>	Neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, anaemia	Febrile neutropenia	Pancytopenia, bone marrow depression		
<u>Metabolism and nutrition disorders</u>		Anorexia	Dehydration, hyperuricaemia, hypokalaemia, appetite increased, appetite decreased, gout, hypophosphataemia	Hyperkalaemia, hyponatraemia	
<u>Psychiatric disorders</u>			Depression, anxiety, libido decreased	Confusion	
<u>Nervous system disorders</u>	Headache	Dizziness, taste disturbance, paraesthesia, insomnia	Cerebral haemorrhage, syncope, peripheral neuropathy, hypoaesthesia, somnolence, migraine, memory impairment	Cerebral oedema, increased intracranial pressure, convulsions	
<u>Eye disorders</u>		Conjunctivitis, lacrimation increased, vision blurred	Eye irritation, conjunctival haemorrhage, dry eye, orbital oedema	Macular oedema, papilloedema, retinal haemorrhage, vitreous haemorrhage, glaucoma	
<u>Ear and labyrinth disorders</u>			Vertigo, tinnitus		
<u>Cardiac disorders</u>			Cardiac failure, pulmonary oedema, tachycardia	Pericardial effusion, pericarditis, cardiac tamponade	
<u>Vascular disorders</u>			Haematoma, hypertension, hypotension, flushing, peripheral coldness	Thrombosis, embolism	Anaphylactic shock
<u>Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal</u>		Epistaxis, dyspnoea	Pleural effusion, cough, pharyngo-laryngeal pain,	Pulmonary fibrosis, interstitial	

<b><u>disorders</u></b>			acute respiratory failure (1)	pneumonitis	
<b><u>Gastrointestinal disorders</u></b>	Nausea, vomiting, diarrhoea, dyspepsia, abdominal pain	Abdominal distension, flatulence, constipation, gastro-oesophageal reflux, mouth ulceration	Gastrointestinal haemorrhage, melaena, ascites, gastric ulcer, gastritis, eructation, dry mouth, pancreatitis	Colitis, diverticulitis, ileus, intestinal obstruction, gastrointestinal perforation (2)	
<b><u>Hepatobiliary disorders</u></b>		Increased hepatic enzymes	Jaundice, hepatitis, hyperbilirubinaemia	Hepatic failure, hepatic necrosis (3)	
<b><u>Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders</u></b>	Periorbital oedema, dermatitis/eczema/ rash	Face oedema, eyelid oedema, pruritus, erythema, dry skin, alopecia, night sweats	Petechiae, contusion, sweating increased, urticaria, onychoclasia, photosensitivity reaction, purpura, hypotrichosis, cheilitis, skin hyperpigmentation, skin hypopigmentation, psoriasis, exfoliative dermatitis and bullous eruptions	Angioedema, vesicular rash, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, acute febrile neutrophilic dermatosis (Sweet's syndrome)	
<b><u>Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders</u></b>	Muscle spasm and cramps, musculoskeletal pain including arthralgia	Joint swelling	Sciatica, joint and muscle stiffness	Aseptic necrosis of bone, mainly in femoral head	
<b><u>Renal and urinary disorders</u></b>			Renal failure, renal pain, urinary frequency increased, haematuria		
<b><u>Reproductive system and breast disorders</u></b>			Gynaecomastia, breast enlargement, scrotal oedema, menorrhagia, nipple pain, sexual dysfunction		
<b><u>General disorders and administration site conditions</u></b>	Fluid retention and oedema, fatigue	Pyrexia, weakness, rigors	Malaise, haemorrhage	Anasarca	
<b><u>Investigations</u></b>		Weight increased	Blood alkaline phosphatase increased, blood creatinine increased, weight decreased, blood creatine phosphokinase increased, blood lactate dehydrogenase increased		

(1) Fatal cases have been reported in patients with advanced disease, severe infections, severe neutropenia and other serious concomitant clinical conditions.

- (2) Some fatal cases of gastrointestinal perforation have been reported.  
(3) Some fatal cases of hepatic necrosis have been reported.

#### Laboratory test abnormalities

##### *Haematology*

In CML, cytopenias, particularly neutropenia and thrombocytopenia, have been a consistent finding in all studies, with the suggestion of a higher frequency at high doses  $\geq 750$  mg (phase I study). However, the occurrence of cytopenias was also clearly dependent on the stage of the disease, the frequency of grade 3 or 4 neutropenias ( $ANC < 1.0 \times 10^9/l$ ) and thrombocytopenias (platelet count  $< 50 \times 10^9/l$ ) being between 4 and 6 times higher in blast crisis and accelerated phase (59–64% and 44–63% for neutropenia and thrombocytopenia, respectively) as compared to newly diagnosed patients in chronic phase CML (16.7% neutropenia and 8.9% thrombocytopenia). In newly diagnosed chronic phase CML grade 4 neutropenia ( $ANC < 0.5 \times 10^9/l$ ) and thrombocytopenia (platelet count  $< 10 \times 10^9/l$ ) were observed in 3.6% and  $< 1\%$  of patients, respectively. The median duration of the neutropenic and thrombocytopenic episodes usually ranged from 2 to 3 weeks, and from 3 to 4 weeks, respectively. These events can usually be managed with either a reduction of the dose or an interruption of treatment with Glivec, but can in rare cases lead to permanent discontinuation of treatment. In paediatric CML patients the most frequent toxicities observed were grade 3 or 4 cytopenias involving neutropenia, thrombocytopenia and anaemia. These generally occur within the first several months of therapy.

In patients with GIST, grade 3 and 4 anaemia was reported in 5.4% and 0.7% of patients, respectively, and may have been related to gastrointestinal or intra-tumoural bleeding in at least some of these patients. Grade 3 and 4 neutropenia was seen in 7.5% and 2.7% of patients, respectively, and grade 3 thrombocytopenia in 0.7% of patients. No patient developed grade 4 thrombocytopenia. The decreases in white blood cell (WBC) and neutrophil counts occurred mainly during the first six weeks of therapy, with values remaining relatively stable thereafter.

##### *Biochemistry*

Severe elevation of transaminases ( $< 5\%$ ) or bilirubin ( $< 1\%$ ) was seen in CML patients and was usually managed with dose reduction or interruption (the median duration of these episodes was approximately one week). Treatment was discontinued permanently because of liver laboratory abnormalities in less than 1% of CML patients. In GIST patients (study B2222), 6.8% of grade 3 or 4 ALT (alanine aminotransferase) elevations and 4.8% of grade 3 or 4 AST (aspartate aminotransferase) elevations were observed. Bilirubin elevation was below 3%.

There have been cases of cytolytic and cholestatic hepatitis and hepatic failure; in some of them outcome was fatal, including one patient on high dose paracetamol.

#### **4.9 Overdose**

Experience with doses greater than 800 mg is limited. Isolated cases of Glivec overdose have been reported.

A patient with myeloid blast crisis inadvertently took Glivec 1,200 mg for 6 days and experienced Grade 1 elevations of serum creatinine, Grade 2 ascites and elevated liver transaminase levels, and Grade 3 elevations of bilirubin. Treatment was temporarily interrupted and there was complete reversal of all abnormalities within one week. Treatment was resumed at a dose of 400 mg without recurrence of problems. Another patient developed severe muscle cramps after taking Glivec 1,600 mg daily for six days. Following interruption of treatment, complete resolution of muscle cramps occurred, treatment was subsequently resumed.

In the event of overdose, the patient should be observed and appropriate supportive treatment given.

## 5. PHARMACOLOGICAL PROPERTIES

### 5.1 Pharmacodynamic properties

Pharmacotherapeutic group: protein-tyrosine kinase inhibitor, ATC code: L01XX28

Imatinib is a protein-tyrosine kinase inhibitor which potently inhibits the Bcr-Abl tyrosine kinase at the *in vitro*, cellular and *in vivo* levels. The compound selectively inhibits proliferation and induces apoptosis in Bcr-Abl positive cell lines as well as fresh leukaemic cells from Philadelphia chromosome positive CML and acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL) patients.

*In vivo* the compound shows anti-tumour activity as a single agent in animal models using Bcr-Abl positive tumour cells.

Imatinib is also an inhibitor of the receptor tyrosine kinases for platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), PDGF-R, and stem cell factor (SCF), c-Kit, and inhibits PDGF- and SCF-mediated cellular events. *In vitro*, imatinib inhibits proliferation and induces apoptosis in gastrointestinal stromal tumour (GIST) cells, which express an activating *kit* mutation. Constitutive activation of the PDGF receptor or the Abl protein tyrosine kinases as a consequence of fusion to diverse partner proteins or constitutive production of PDGF have been implicated in the pathogenesis of MDS/MPD, HES/CEL and DFSP. Imatinib inhibits signalling and proliferation of cells driven by dysregulated PDGFR and Abl kinase activity.

#### Clinical studies in chronic myeloid leukaemia

The effectiveness of Glivec is based on overall haematological and cytogenetic response rates and progression-free survival. Except in newly diagnosed chronic phase CML, there are no controlled trials demonstrating a clinical benefit, such as improvement in disease-related symptoms or increased survival.

Three large, international, open-label, non-controlled phase II studies were conducted in patients with Philadelphia chromosome positive (Ph<sup>+</sup>) CML in advanced, blast or accelerated phase disease, other Ph<sup>+</sup> leukaemias or with CML in the chronic phase but failing prior interferon-alpha (IFN) therapy. One large, open-label, multicentre, international randomised phase III study has been conducted in patients with newly diagnosed Ph<sup>+</sup> CML. In addition, children have been treated in two phase I studies and one phase II study.

In all clinical studies 38–40% of patients were ≥ 60 years of age and 10–12% of patients were ≥ 70 years of age.

*Chronic phase, newly diagnosed:* This phase III study in adult patients compared treatment with either single-agent Glivec or a combination of interferon-alpha (IFN) plus cytarabine (Ara-C). Patients showing lack of response (lack of complete haematological response (CHR) at 6 months, increasing WBC, no major cytogenetic response (MCyR) at 24 months), loss of response (loss of CHR or MCyR) or severe intolerance to treatment were allowed to cross over to the alternative treatment arm. In the Glivec arm, patients were treated with 400 mg daily. In the IFN arm, patients were treated with a target dose of IFN of 5 MIU/m<sup>2</sup>/day subcutaneously in combination with subcutaneous Ara-C 20 mg/m<sup>2</sup>/day for 10 days/month.

A total of 1,106 patients were randomised. 553 to each arm. Baseline characteristics were

well balanced between the two arms. Median age was 51 years (range 18–70 years), with 21.9% of patients  $\geq$  60 years of age. There were 59% males and 41% females; 89.9% caucasian and 4.7% black patients. Five years after the last patient had been recruited, the median duration of first-line treatment was 60 and 8 months in the Glivec and IFN arms, respectively. The median duration of second-line treatment with Glivec was 45 months. Overall, in patients receiving first-line Glivec, the average daily dose delivered was  $389 \pm 71$  mg. The primary efficacy endpoint of the study is progression-free survival. Progression was defined as any of the following events: progression to accelerated phase or blast crisis, death, loss of CHR or MCyR, or in patients not achieving a CHR an increasing WBC despite appropriate therapeutic management. Major cytogenetic response, haematological response, molecular response (evaluation of minimal residual disease), time to accelerated phase or blast crisis and survival are main secondary endpoints. Response data are shown in Table 1.

**Table 1 Response in newly diagnosed CML Study (60-month data)**

<b>(Best response rates)</b>	<b>Glivec n=553</b>	<b>IFN+Ara-C n=553</b>
<b>Haematological response</b>		
CHR rate n (%) [95% CI]	534 (96.6%)* [94.7%, 97.9%]	313 (56.6%)* [52.4%, 60.8%]
<b>Cytogenetic response</b>		
Major response n (%) [95% CI]	490 (88.6%)* [85.7%, 91.1%]	129 (23.3%)* [19.9%, 27.1%]
Complete CyR n (%)	454 (82.1%)*	64 (11.6%)*
Partial CyR n (%)	36 (6.5%)	65 (11.8%)
<b>Molecular response</b>		
Major response at 12 months (%)	40%*	2%*
Major response at 24 months (%)	54%	NA**
* p<0.001, Fischer's exact test		
** insufficient data, only two patients available with samples		
<b>Haematological response criteria (all responses to be confirmed after <math>\geq</math> 4 weeks):</b> WBC < $10 \times 10^9/l$ , platelet < $450 \times 10^9/l$ , myelocyte+metamyelocyte < 5% in blood, no blasts and promyelocytes in blood, basophils < 20%, no extramedullary involvement		
<b>Cytogenetic response criteria:</b> complete (0% Ph+ metaphases), partial (1–35%), minor (36–65%) or minimal (66–95%). A major response (0–35%) combines both complete and partial responses.		
<b>Major molecular response criteria:</b> in the peripheral blood reduction of $\geq$ 3 logarithms in the amount of Bcr-Abl transcripts (measured by real-time quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR assay) over a standardised baseline.		

Rates of complete haematological response, major cytogenetic response and complete cytogenetic response on first-line treatment were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier approach, for which non-responses were censored at the date of last examination. Using this approach, the estimated cumulative response rates for first-line treatment with Glivec improved from 12 months of therapy to 60 months of therapy as follows: CHR from 96.4% to 98.4% and CCyR from 69.5% to 86.7%, respectively.

With 5 years follow-up, there were 86 (15.6%) progression events in the Glivec arm: 35 (6.3%) involving progression to accelerated phase/blast crisis, 28 (5.1%) loss of MCyR, 14 (2.5%) loss of CHR or increase in WBC, and 9 (1.6%) CML unrelated deaths. In contrast, there were 155 (28.0%) events in the IFN+Ara-C arm, of which 128 occurred during first-line treatment with IFN+Ara-C.



The estimated rate of patients free of progression to accelerated phase or blast crisis at 60 months was significantly higher in the Glivec arm compared to the IFN arm (92.9% versus 86.2%,  $p < 0.001$ ). The annual rate of progression to accelerated phase or blast crisis decreased with time on therapy and was less than 1% annually in the fourth and fifth years. The estimated rate of progression-free survival at 60 months was 83.2% in the Glivec arm and 64.1% in the control arm ( $p < 0.001$ ). The yearly rates of progression of any type for Glivec also decreased over time.

A total of 57 (10.3%) and 73 (13.2%) patients died in the Glivec and IFN+Ara-C groups, respectively. At 60 months the estimated overall survival is 89.4% (86, 92) vs. 85.6% (82, 89) in the randomised Glivec and the IFN+Ara-C groups, respectively ( $p = 0.049$ , log-rank test). This time-to-event endpoint is strongly affected by the high crossover rate from IFN+Ara-C to Glivec. The effect of Glivec treatment on survival in chronic phase, newly diagnosed CML has been further examined in a retrospective analysis of the above reported Glivec data with the primary data from another Phase III study using IFN+Ara-C ( $n = 325$ ) in an identical regimen. In this retrospective analysis, the superiority of Glivec over IFN+Ara-C in overall survival was demonstrated ( $p < 0.001$ ); within 42 months, 47 (8.5%) Glivec patients and 63 (19.4%) IFN+Ara-C patients had died.

The degree of cytogenetic response and molecular response had a clear effect on long-term outcomes in patients on Glivec. Whereas an estimated 97% (93%) of patients with CCyR (PCyR) at 12 months were free of progression to accelerated phase/blast crisis at 60 months, only 81% of patients without MCyR at 12 months were free of progression to advanced CML at 60 months ( $p < 0.001$  overall,  $p = 0.20$  between CCyR and PCyR). For patients with CCyR and reduction in Bcr-Abl transcripts of at least 3 logarithms at 12 months, the probability of remaining free from progression to accelerated phase/blast crisis was 100% at 60 months. Similar findings were found based on a 18-months landmark analysis.

In this study, dose escalations were allowed from 400 mg daily to 600 mg daily, then from 600 mg daily to 800 mg daily. After 42 months of follow-up, 11 patients experienced a confirmed loss (within 4 weeks) of their cytogenetic response. Of these 11 patients, 4 patients escalated up to 800 mg daily, 2 of whom regained a cytogenetic response (1 partial and 1 complete, the latter also achieving a molecular response), while of the 7 patients who did not escalate the dose, only one regained a complete cytogenetic response. The percentage of some adverse reactions was higher in the 40 patients in whom the dose was increased to 800 mg daily compared to the population of patients before dose increase ( $n = 551$ ). The more frequent adverse reactions included gastrointestinal haemorrhages, conjunctivitis and elevation of transaminases or bilirubin. Other adverse reactions were reported with lower or equal frequency.

*Chronic phase, Interferon failure:* 532 adult patients were treated at a starting dose of 400 mg. The patients were distributed in three main categories: haematological failure (29%), cytogenetic failure (35%), or intolerance to interferon (36%). Patients had received a median of 14 months of prior IFN therapy at doses  $\geq 25 \times 10^6$  IU/week and were all in late chronic phase, with a median time from diagnosis of 32 months. The primary efficacy variable of the study was the rate of major cytogenetic response (complete plus partial response, 0 to 35% Ph+ metaphases in the bone marrow).

In this study 65% of the patients achieved a major cytogenetic response that was complete in 53% (confirmed 43%) of patients (Table 2). A complete haematological response was achieved in 95% of patients.

*Accelerated phase:* 235 adult patients with accelerated phase disease were enrolled. The first 77 patients were started at 400 mg, the protocol was subsequently amended to allow higher

dosing and the remaining 158 patients were started at 600 mg.

The primary efficacy variable was the rate of haematological response, reported as either complete haematological response, no evidence of leukaemia (i.e. clearance of blasts from the marrow and the blood, but without a full peripheral blood recovery as for complete responses), or return to chronic phase CML. A confirmed haematological response was achieved in 71.5% of patients (Table 2). Importantly, 27.7% of patients also achieved a major cytogenetic response, which was complete in 20.4% (confirmed 16%) of patients. For the patients treated at 600 mg, the current estimates for median progression-free-survival and overall survival were 22.9 and 42.5 months, respectively.

*Myeloid blast crisis:* 260 patients with myeloid blast crisis were enrolled. 95 (37%) had received prior chemotherapy for treatment of either accelerated phase or blast crisis (“pretreated patients”) whereas 165 (63%) had not (“untreated patients”). The first 37 patients were started at 400 mg, the protocol was subsequently amended to allow higher dosing and the remaining 223 patients were started at 600 mg.

The primary efficacy variable was the rate of haematological response, reported as either complete haematological response, no evidence of leukaemia, or return to chronic phase CML using the same criteria as for the study in accelerated phase. In this study, 31% of patients achieved a haematological response (36% in previously untreated patients and 22% in previously treated patients). The rate of response was also higher in the patients treated at 600 mg (33%) as compared to the patients treated at 400 mg (16%,  $p=0.0220$ ). The current estimate of the median survival of the previously untreated and treated patients was 7.7 and 4.7 months, respectively.

*Lymphoid blast crisis:* a limited number of patients were enrolled in phase I studies ( $n=10$ ). The rate of haematological response was 70% with a duration of 2–3 months.

**Table 2 Response in adult CML studies**

	Study 0110 37-month data Chronic phase, IFN failure ( $n=532$ )	Study 0109 40.5-month data Accelerated phase ( $n=235$ )	Study 0102 38-month data Myeloid blast crisis ( $n=260$ )
	% of patients (CI <sub>95%</sub> )		
Haematological response <sup>1</sup>	95% (92.3–96.3)	71% (65.3–77.2)	31% (25.2–36.8)
Complete haematological response (CHR)	95%	42%	8%
No evidence of leukaemia (NEL)	Not applicable	12%	5%
Return to chronic phase (RTC)	Not applicable	17%	18%
Major cytogenetic response <sup>2</sup>	65% (61.2–69.5)	28% (22.0–33.9)	15% (11.2–20.4)
Complete (Confirmed <sup>3</sup> ) [95% CI]	53% (43%) [38.6–47.2]	20% (16%) [11.3–21.0]	7% (2%) [0.6–4.4]
Partial	12%	7%	8%

<sup>1</sup> **Haematological response criteria (all responses to be confirmed after  $\geq 4$  weeks):**

CHR: Study 0110 [WBC  $< 10 \times 10^9/l$ , platelets  $< 450 \times 10^9/l$ , myelocyte+metamyelocyte  $< 5\%$  in blood, no blasts and promyelocytes in blood, basophils  $< 20\%$ , no extramedullary involvement] and in studies 0102 and 0109 [ANC  $\geq 1.5 \times 10^9/l$ , platelets  $\geq 100 \times 10^9/l$ , no blood blasts, BM blasts  $< 5\%$  and no extramedullary disease]

NEL Same criteria as for CHR but ANC  $\geq 1 \times 10^9/l$  and platelets  $\geq 20 \times 10^9/l$  (0102 and 0109 only)

RTC  $< 15\%$  blasts BM and PB,  $< 30\%$  blasts+promyelocytes in BM and PB,  $< 20\%$  basophils in

PB, no extramedullary disease other than spleen and liver (only for 0102 and 0109).

BM = bone marrow, PB = peripheral blood

**<sup>2</sup> Cytogenetic response criteria:**

A major response combines both complete and partial responses: complete (0% Ph+ metaphases), partial (1–35%)

<sup>3</sup> Complete cytogenetic response confirmed by a second bone marrow cytogenetic evaluation performed at least one month after the initial bone marrow study.

*Paediatric patients:* A total of 26 paediatric patients of age < 18 years with either chronic phase CML (n=11) or CML in blast crisis or Ph+ acute leukaemias (n=15) were enrolled in a dose-escalation phase I trial. This was a population of heavily pretreated patients, as 46% had received prior BMT and 73% a prior multi-agent chemotherapy. Patients were treated at doses of Glivec of 260 mg/m<sup>2</sup>/day (n=5), 340 mg/m<sup>2</sup>/day (n=9), 440 mg/m<sup>2</sup>/day (n=7) and 570 mg/m<sup>2</sup>/day (n=5). Out of 9 patients with chronic phase CML and cytogenetic data available, 4 (44%) and 3 (33%) achieved a complete and partial cytogenetic response, respectively, for a rate of MCyR of 77%.

A total of 51 paediatric patients with newly diagnosed and untreated CML in chronic phase have been enrolled in an open-label, multicentre, single-arm phase II trial. Patients were treated with Glivec 340 mg/m<sup>2</sup>/day, with no interruptions in the absence of dose limiting toxicity. Glivec treatment induces a rapid response in newly diagnosed paediatric CML patients with a CHR of 78% after 8 weeks of therapy. The high rate of CHR is accompanied by the development of a complete cytogenetic response (CCyR) of 65% which is comparable to the results observed in adults. Additionally, partial cytogenetic response (PCyR) was observed in 16% for a MCyR of 81%. The majority of patients who achieved a CCyR developed the CCyR between months 3 and 10 with a median time to response based on the Kaplan-Meier estimate of 5.6 months.

Clinical studies in Ph+ ALL

*Newly diagnosed Ph+ ALL:* In a controlled study (ADE10) of imatinib versus chemotherapy induction in 55 newly diagnosed patients aged 55 years and over, imatinib used as single agent induced a significantly higher rate of complete haematological response than chemotherapy (96.3% vs. 50%; p=0.0001). When salvage therapy with imatinib was administered in patients who did not respond or who responded poorly to chemotherapy, it resulted in 9 patients (81.8%) out of 11 achieving a complete haematological response. This clinical effect was associated with a higher reduction in bcr-abl transcripts in the imatinib-treated patients than in the chemotherapy arm after 2 weeks of therapy (p=0.02). All patients received imatinib and consolidation chemotherapy (see Table 3) after induction and the levels of bcr-abl transcripts were identical in the two arms at 8 weeks. As expected on the basis of the study design, no difference was observed in remission duration, disease-free survival or overall survival, although patients with complete molecular response and remaining in minimal residual disease had a better outcome in terms of both remission duration (p=0.01) and disease-free survival (p=0.02).

The results observed in a population of 211 newly diagnosed Ph+ ALL patients in four uncontrolled clinical studies (AAU02, ADE04, AJP01 and AUS01) are consistent with the results described above. Imatinib in combination with chemotherapy induction (see Table 3) resulted in a complete haematological response rate of 93% (147 out of 158 evaluable patients) and in a major cytogenetic response rate of 90% (19 out of 21 evaluable patients). The complete molecular response rate was 48% (49 out of 102 evaluable patients). Disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS) constantly exceeded 1 year and were superior to historical control (DFS p<0.001; OS p<0.0001) in two studies (AJP01 and AUS01).

**Table 3 Chemotherapy regimen used in combination with imatinib**

<b>Study ADE10</b>	
Prephase	DEX 10 mg/m <sup>2</sup> oral, days 1-5; CP 200 mg/m <sup>2</sup> i.v., days 3, 4, 5; MTX 12 mg intrathecal, day 1
Remission induction	DEX 10 mg/m <sup>2</sup> oral, days 6-7, 13-16; VCR 1 mg i.v., days 7, 14; IDA 8 mg/m <sup>2</sup> i.v. (0.5 h), days 7, 8, 14, 15; CP 500 mg/m <sup>2</sup> i.v. (1 h) day 1; Ara-C 60 mg/m <sup>2</sup> i.v., days 22-25, 29-32
Consolidation therapy I, III, V	MTX 500 mg/m <sup>2</sup> i.v. (24 h), days 1, 15; 6-MP 25 mg/m <sup>2</sup> oral, days 1-20
Consolidation therapy II, IV	Ara-C 75 mg/m <sup>2</sup> i.v. (1 h), days 1-5; VM26 60 mg/m <sup>2</sup> i.v. (1 h), days 1-5
<b>Study AAU02</b>	
Induction therapy ( <i>de novo</i> Ph+ ALL)	Daunorubicin 30 mg/m <sup>2</sup> i.v., days 1-3, 15-16; VCR 2 mg total dose i.v., days 1, 8, 15, 22; CP 750 mg/m <sup>2</sup> i.v., days 1, 8; prednisone 60 mg/m <sup>2</sup> oral, days 1-7, 15-21; IDA 9 mg/m <sup>2</sup> oral, days 1-28; MTX 15 mg intrathecal, days 1, 8, 15, 22; Ara-C 40 mg intrathecal, days 1, 8, 15, 22; methylprednisolone 40 mg intrathecal, days 1, 8, 15, 22
Consolidation ( <i>de novo</i> Ph+ ALL)	Ara-C 1,000 mg/m <sup>2</sup> /12 h i.v. (3 h), days 1-4; mitoxantrone 10 mg/m <sup>2</sup> i.v. days 3-5; MTX 15 mg intrathecal, day 1; methylprednisolone 40 mg intrathecal, day 1
<b>Study ADE04</b>	
Prephase	DEX 10 mg/m <sup>2</sup> oral, days 1-5; CP 200 mg/m <sup>2</sup> i.v., days 3-5; MTX 15 mg intrathecal, day 1
Induction therapy I	DEX 10 mg/m <sup>2</sup> oral, days 1-5; VCR 2 mg i.v., days 6, 13, 20; daunorubicin 45 mg/m <sup>2</sup> i.v., days 6-7, 13-14
Induction therapy II	CP 1 g/m <sup>2</sup> i.v. (1 h), days 26, 46; Ara-C 75 mg/m <sup>2</sup> i.v. (1 h), days 28-31, 35-38, 42-45; 6-MP 60 mg/m <sup>2</sup> oral, days 26-46
Consolidation therapy	DEX 10 mg/m <sup>2</sup> oral, days 1-5; vindesine 3 mg/m <sup>2</sup> i.v., day 1; MTX 1.5 g/m <sup>2</sup> i.v. (24 h), day 1; etoposide 250 mg/m <sup>2</sup> i.v. (1 h) days 4-5; Ara-C 2x 2 g/m <sup>2</sup> i.v. (3 h, q 12 h), day 5
<b>Study AJP01</b>	
Induction therapy	CP 1.2 g/m <sup>2</sup> i.v. (3 h), day 1; daunorubicin 60 mg/m <sup>2</sup> i.v. (1 h), days 1-3; vincristine 1.3 mg/m <sup>2</sup> i.v., days 1, 8, 15, 21; prednisolone 60 mg/m <sup>2</sup> /day oral
Consolidation therapy	Alternating chemotherapy course: high dose chemotherapy with MTX 1 g/m <sup>2</sup> i.v. (24 h), day 1, and Ara-C 2 g/m <sup>2</sup> i.v. (q 12 h), days 2-3, for 4 cycles
Maintenance	VCR 1.3 g/m <sup>2</sup> i.v., day 1; prednisolone 60 mg/m <sup>2</sup> oral, days 1-5
<b>Study AUS01</b>	
Induction-consolidation therapy	Hyper-CVAD regimen: CP 300 mg/m <sup>2</sup> i.v. (3 h, q 12 h), days 1-3; vincristine 2 mg i.v., days 4, 11; doxorubicine 50 mg/m <sup>2</sup> i.v. (24 h), day 4; DEX 40 mg/day on days 1-4 and 11-14, alternated with MTX 1 g/m <sup>2</sup> i.v. (24 h), day 1, Ara-C 1 g/m <sup>2</sup> i.v. (2 h, q 12 h), days 2-3 (total of 8 courses)
Maintenance	VCR 2 mg i.v. monthly for 13 months; prednisolone 200 mg oral, 5 days per month for 13 months
All treatment regimens include administration of steroids for CNS prophylaxis.	
Ara-C: cytosine arabinoside; CP: cyclophosphamide; DEX: dexamethasone; MTX: methotrexate; 6-MP: 6-mercaptopurine VM26: Teniposide; VCR: vincristine; IDA: idarubicine; i.v.: intravenous	

*Relapsed/refractory Ph+ ALL:* When imatinib was used as single agent in patients with relapsed/refractory Ph+ ALL, it resulted, in the 53 out of 411 patients evaluable for response, in a haematological response rate of 30% (9% complete) and a major cytogenetic response rate of 23%. (Of note, out of the 411 patients, 353 were treated in an expanded access program without primary response data collected.) The median time to progression in the overall population of 411 patients with relapsed/refractory Ph+ ALL ranged from 2.6 to 3.1 months, and median overall survival in the 401 evaluable patients ranged from 4.9 to 9 months. The data was similar when re-analysed to include only those patients age 55 or older.

#### Clinical studies in MDS/MPD

Experience with Glivec in this indication is very limited and is based on haematological and cytogenetic response rates. There are no controlled trials demonstrating a clinical benefit or increased survival. One open label, multicentre, phase II clinical trial (study B2225) was conducted testing Glivec in diverse populations of patients suffering from life-threatening diseases associated with Abl, Kit or PDGFR protein tyrosine kinases. This study included 7 patients with MDS/MPD who were treated with Glivec 400 mg daily. Three patients presented a complete haematological response (CHR) and one patient experienced a partial haematological response (PHR). At the time of the original analysis, three of the four patients with detected PDGFR gene rearrangements developed haematological response (2 CHR and 1 PHR). The age of these patients ranged from 20 to 72 years. In addition a further 24 patients with MDS/MPD were reported in 13 publications. 21 patients were treated with Glivec 400 mg daily, while the other 3 patients received lower doses. In eleven patients PDGFR gene rearrangements was detected, 9 of them achieved a CHR and 1 PHR. The age of these patients ranged from 2 to 79 years. In a recent publication updated information from 6 of these 11 patients revealed that all these patients remained in cytogenetic remission (range 32-38 months). The same publication reported long term follow-up data from 12 MDS/MPD patients with PDGFR gene rearrangements (5 patients from study B2225). These patients received Glivec for a median of 47 months (range 24 days – 60 months). In 6 of these patients follow-up now exceeds 4 years. Eleven patients achieved rapid CHR; ten had complete resolution of cytogenetic abnormalities and a decrease or disappearance of fusion transcripts as measured by RT-PCR. Haematological and cytogenetic responses have been sustained for a median of 49 months (range 19-60) and 47 months (range 16-59), respectively. The overall survival is 65 months since diagnosis (range 25-234). Glivec administration to patients without the genetic translocation generally results in no improvement.

#### Clinical studies in HES/CEL

One open-label, multicentre, phase II clinical trial (study B2225) was conducted testing Glivec in diverse populations of patients suffering from life-threatening diseases associated with Abl, Kit or PDGFR protein tyrosine kinases. In this study, 14 patients with HES/CEL were treated with 100 mg to 1,000 mg of Glivec daily. A further 162 patients with HES/CEL, reported in 35 published case reports and case series received Glivec at doses from 75 mg to 800 mg daily. Cytogenetic abnormalities were evaluated in 117 of the total population of 176 patients. In 61 of these 117 patients FIP1L1-PDGFR $\alpha$  fusion kinase was identified. An additional four HES patients were found to be FIP1L1-PDGFR $\alpha$ -positive in other 3 published reports. All 65 FIP1L1-PDGFR $\alpha$  fusion kinase positive patients achieved a CHR sustained for months (range from 1+ to 44+ months censored at the time of the reporting). As reported in a recent publication 21 of these 65 patients also achieved complete molecular remission with a median follow-up of 28 months (range 13-67 months). The age of these patients ranged from 25 to 72 years. Additionally, improvements in symptomatology and other organ dysfunction abnormalities were reported by the investigators in the case reports. Improvements were reported in cardiac, nervous, skin/subcutaneous tissue, respiratory/thoracic/mediastinal, musculoskeletal/connective tissue/vascular, and gastrointestinal organ systems.

### Clinical studies in GIST

One phase II, open-label, randomised, uncontrolled multinational study was conducted in patients with unresectable or metastatic malignant gastrointestinal stromal tumours (GIST). In this study 147 patients were enrolled and randomised to receive either 400 mg or 600 mg orally q.d. for up to 36 months. These patients ranged in age from 18 to 83 years old and had a pathologic diagnosis of Kit-positive malignant GIST that was unresectable and/or metastatic. Immunohistochemistry was routinely performed with Kit antibody (A-4502, rabbit polyclonal antiserum, 1:100; DAKO Corporation, Carpinteria, CA) according to analysis by an avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex method after antigen retrieval.

The primary evidence of efficacy was based on objective response rates. Tumours were required to be measurable in at least one site of disease, and response characterisation based on Southwestern Oncology Group (SWOG) criteria. Results are provided in Table 4.

**Table 4 Best tumour response in trial STIB2222 (GIST)**

Best response	All doses (n=147)
	n (%)
Complete response	1 (0.7)
Partial response	98 (66.7)
Stable disease	23 (15.6)
Progressive disease	18 (12.2)
Not evaluable	5 (3.4)
Unknown	2 (1.4)

There were no differences in response rates between the two dose groups. A significant number of patients who had stable disease at the time of the interim analysis achieved a partial response with longer treatment (median follow-up 31 months). Median time to response was 13 weeks (95% C.I. 12–23). Median time to treatment failure in responders was 122 weeks (95% C.I. 106–147), while in the overall study population it was 84 weeks (95% C.I. 71–109). The median overall survival has not been reached. The Kaplan-Meier estimate for survival after 36-month follow-up is 68%.

In two clinical studies (study B2222 and an intergroup study S0033) the daily dose of Glivec was escalated to 800 mg in patients progressing at the lower daily doses of 400 mg or 600 mg. The daily dose was escalated to 800 mg in a total of 103 patients; 6 patients achieved a partial response and 21 stabilisation of their disease after dose escalation for an overall clinical benefit of 26%. From the safety data available, escalating the dose to 800 mg daily in patients progressing at lower doses of 400 mg or 600 mg daily does not seem to affect the safety profile of Glivec.

### Clinical studies in DFSP

One phase II, open label, multicentre clinical trial (study B2225) was conducted including 12 patients with DFSP treated with Glivec 800 mg daily. The age of the DFSP patients ranged from 23 to 75 years; DFSP was metastatic, locally recurrent following initial resective surgery and not considered amenable to further resective surgery at the time of study entry. The primary evidence of efficacy was based on objective response rates. Out of the 12 patients enrolled, 9 responded, one completely and 8 partially. Three of the partial responders were subsequently rendered disease free by surgery. The median duration of therapy in study B2225 was 6.2 months, with a maximum duration of 24.3 months. A further 6 DFSP patients treated with Glivec were reported in 5 published case reports, their ages ranging from 18 months to 49 years. The adult patients reported in the published literature were treated with either 400 mg (4 cases) or 800 mg (1 case) Glivec daily. The paediatric patient received

400 mg/m<sup>2</sup>/daily, subsequently increased to 520 mg/m<sup>2</sup>/daily. 5 patients responded, 3 completely and 2 partially. The median duration of therapy in the published literature ranged between 4 weeks and more than 20 months. The translocation t(17:22)[(q22;q13)], or its gene product, was present in nearly all responders to Glivec treatment.

This medicinal product has been authorised under “Exceptional Circumstances”. This means that due to the rarity of these diseases it has not been possible to obtain complete information on this medicinal product. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) will review any new information which may become available every year and this SPC will be updated as necessary.

## 5.2 Pharmacokinetic properties

### Pharmacokinetics of Glivec

The pharmacokinetics of Glivec have been evaluated over a dosage range of 25 to 1,000 mg. Plasma pharmacokinetic profiles were analysed on day 1 and on either day 7 or day 28, by which time plasma concentrations had reached steady state.

### Absorption

Mean absolute bioavailability for imatinib is 98%. There was high between-patient variability in plasma imatinib AUC levels after an oral dose. When given with a high-fat meal, the rate of absorption of imatinib was minimally reduced (11% decrease in C<sub>max</sub> and prolongation of t<sub>max</sub> by 1.5 h), with a small reduction in AUC (7.4%) compared to fasting conditions. The effect of prior gastrointestinal surgery on drug absorption has not been investigated.

### Distribution

At clinically relevant concentrations of imatinib, binding to plasma proteins was approximately 95% on the basis of *in vitro* experiments, mostly to albumin and alpha-acid-glycoprotein, with little binding to lipoprotein.

### Metabolism

The main circulating metabolite in humans is the N-demethylated piperazine derivative, which shows similar *in vitro* potency to the parent. The plasma AUC for this metabolite was found to be only 16% of the AUC for imatinib. The plasma protein binding of the N-demethylated metabolite is similar to that of the parent compound.

Imatinib and the N-demethyl metabolite together accounted for about 65% of the circulating radioactivity (AUC<sub>(0-48h)</sub>). The remaining circulating radioactivity consisted of a number of minor metabolites.

The *in vitro* results showed that CYP3A4 was the major human P450 enzyme catalysing the biotransformation of imatinib. Of a panel of potential comedications (acetaminophen, aciclovir, allopurinol, amphotericin, cytarabine, erythromycin, fluconazole, hydroxyurea, norfloxacin, penicillin V) only erythromycin (IC<sub>50</sub> 50 µM) and fluconazole (IC<sub>50</sub> 118 µM) showed inhibition of imatinib metabolism which could have clinical relevance.

Imatinib was shown *in vitro* to be a competitive inhibitor of marker substrates for CYP2C9, CYP2D6 and CYP3A4/5. K<sub>i</sub> values in human liver microsomes were 27, 7.5 and 7.9 µmol/l, respectively. Maximal plasma concentrations of imatinib in patients are 2–4 µmol/l, consequently an inhibition of CYP2D6 and/or CYP3A4/5-mediated metabolism of co-administered drugs is possible. Imatinib did not interfere with the biotransformation of 5-fluorouracil, but it inhibited paclitaxel metabolism as a result of competitive inhibition of CYP2C8 (K<sub>i</sub> = 34.7 µM). This K<sub>i</sub> value is far higher than the expected plasma levels of imatinib in patients, consequently no interaction is expected upon co-administration of either

5-fluorouracil or paclitaxel and imatinib.

#### Elimination

Based on the recovery of compound(s) after an oral  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled dose of imatinib, approximately 81% of the dose was recovered within 7 days in faeces (68% of dose) and urine (13% of dose). Unchanged imatinib accounted for 25% of the dose (5% urine, 20% faeces), the remainder being metabolites.

#### Plasma pharmacokinetics

Following oral administration in healthy volunteers, the  $t_{1/2}$  was approximately 18 h, suggesting that once-daily dosing is appropriate. The increase in mean AUC with increasing dose was linear and dose proportional in the range of 25–1,000 mg imatinib after oral administration. There was no change in the kinetics of imatinib on repeated dosing, and accumulation was 1.5–2.5-fold at steady state when dosed once daily.

#### Pharmacokinetics in GIST patients

In patients with GIST steady-state exposure was 1.5-fold higher than that observed for CML patients for the same dosage (400 mg daily). Based on preliminary population pharmacokinetic analysis in GIST patients, there were three variables (albumin, WBC and bilirubin) found to have a statistically significant relationship with imatinib pharmacokinetics. Decreased values of albumin caused a reduced clearance ( $CL/f$ ); and higher levels of WBC led to a reduction of  $CL/f$ . However, these associations are not sufficiently pronounced to warrant dose adjustment. In this patient population, the presence of hepatic metastases could potentially lead to hepatic insufficiency and reduced metabolism.

#### Population pharmacokinetics

Based on population pharmacokinetic analysis in CML patients, there was a small effect of age on the volume of distribution (12% increase in patients > 65 years old). This change is not thought to be clinically significant. The effect of bodyweight on the clearance of imatinib is such that for a patient weighing 50 kg the mean clearance is expected to be 8.5 l/h, while for a patient weighing 100 kg the clearance will rise to 11.8 l/h. These changes are not considered sufficient to warrant dose adjustment based on kg bodyweight. There is no effect of gender on the kinetics of imatinib.

#### Pharmacokinetics in children

As in adult patients, imatinib was rapidly absorbed after oral administration in paediatric patients in both phase I and phase II studies. Dosing in children at 260 and 340  $\text{mg}/\text{m}^2/\text{day}$  achieved the same exposure, respectively, as doses of 400 mg and 600 mg in adult patients. The comparison of  $\text{AUC}_{(0-24)}$  on day 8 and day 1 at the 340  $\text{mg}/\text{m}^2/\text{day}$  dose level revealed a 1.7-fold drug accumulation after repeated once-daily dosing.

#### Organ function impairment

Imatinib and its metabolites are not excreted via the kidney to a significant extent. Patients with mild and moderate impairment of renal function appear to have a higher plasma exposure than patients with normal renal function. The increase is approximately 1.5- to 2-fold, corresponding to a 1.5-fold elevation of plasma AGP, to which imatinib binds strongly. The free drug clearance of imatinib is probably similar between patients with renal impairment and those with normal renal function, since renal excretion represents only a minor elimination pathway for imatinib (see sections 4.2 and 4.4).

Although the results of pharmacokinetic analysis showed that there is considerable inter-subject variation, the mean exposure to imatinib did not increase in patients with varying degrees of liver dysfunction as compared to patients with normal liver function (see sections 4.2, 4.4 and 4.8).



### 5.3 Preclinical safety data

The preclinical safety profile of imatinib was assessed in rats, dogs, monkeys and rabbits.

Multiple dose toxicity studies revealed mild to moderate haematological changes in rats, dogs and monkeys, accompanied by bone marrow changes in rats and dogs.

The liver was a target organ in rats and dogs. Mild to moderate increases in transaminases and slight decreases in cholesterol, triglycerides, total protein and albumin levels were observed in both species. No histopathological changes were seen in rat liver. Severe liver toxicity was observed in dogs treated for 2 weeks, with elevated liver enzymes, hepatocellular necrosis, bile duct necrosis, and bile duct hyperplasia.

Renal toxicity was observed in monkeys treated for 2 weeks, with focal mineralisation and dilation of the renal tubules and tubular nephrosis. Increased blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and creatinine were observed in several of these animals. In rats, hyperplasia of the transitional epithelium in the renal papilla and in the urinary bladder was observed at doses  $\geq 6$  mg/kg in the 13-week study, without changes in serum or urinary parameters. An increased rate of opportunistic infections was observed with chronic imatinib treatment.

In a 39-week monkey study, no NOAEL (no observed adverse effect level) was established at the lowest dose of 15 mg/kg, approximately one-third the maximum human dose of 800 mg based on body surface. Treatment resulted in worsening of normally suppressed malarial infections in these animals.

Imatinib was not considered genotoxic when tested in an *in vitro* bacterial cell assay (Ames test), an *in vitro* mammalian cell assay (mouse lymphoma) and an *in vivo* rat micronucleus test. Positive genotoxic effects were obtained for imatinib in an *in vitro* mammalian cell assay (Chinese hamster ovary) for clastogenicity (chromosome aberration) in the presence of metabolic activation. Two intermediates of the manufacturing process, which are also present in the final product, are positive for mutagenesis in the Ames assay. One of these intermediates was also positive in the mouse lymphoma assay.

In a study of fertility, in male rats dosed for 70 days prior to mating, testicular and epididymal weights and percent motile sperm were decreased at 60 mg/kg, approximately equal to the maximum clinical dose of 800 mg/day, based on body surface area. This was not seen at doses  $\leq 20$  mg/kg. A slight to moderate reduction in spermatogenesis was also observed in the dog at oral doses  $\geq 30$  mg/kg. When female rats were dosed 14 days prior to mating and through to gestational day 6, there was no effect on mating or on number of pregnant females. At a dose of 60 mg/kg, female rats had significant post-implantation foetal loss and a reduced number of live foetuses. This was not seen at doses  $\leq 20$  mg/kg.

In an oral pre- and postnatal development study in rats, red vaginal discharge was noted in the 45 mg/kg/day group on either day 14 or day 15 of gestation. At the same dose, the number of stillborn pups as well as those dying between postpartum days 0 and 4 was increased. In the F<sub>1</sub> offspring, at the same dose level, mean body weights were reduced from birth until terminal sacrifice and the number of litters achieving criterion for preputial separation was slightly decreased. F<sub>1</sub> fertility was not affected, while an increased number of resorptions and a decreased number of viable foetuses was noted at 45 mg/kg/day. The no observed effect level (NOEL) for both the maternal animals and the F<sub>1</sub> generation was 15 mg/kg/day (one quarter of the maximum human dose of 800 mg).

Imatinib was teratogenic in rats when administered during organogenesis at doses  $\geq 100$  mg/kg, approximately equal to the maximum clinical dose of 800 mg/day, based on body surface area. Teratogenic effects included exencephaly or encephalocele, absent/reduced frontal and absent parietal bones. These effects were not seen at doses  $\leq 30$  mg/kg.

In the 2-year rat carcinogenicity study administration of imatinib at 15, 30 and 60 mg/kg/day resulted in a statistically significant reduction in the longevity of males at 60 mg/kg/day and females at  $\geq 30$  mg/kg/day. Histopathological examination of decedents revealed cardiomyopathy (both sexes), chronic progressive nephropathy (females) and preputial gland papilloma as principal causes of death or reasons for sacrifice. Target organs for neoplastic changes were the kidneys, urinary bladder, urethra, preputial and clitoral gland, small intestine, parathyroid glands, adrenal glands and non-glandular stomach.

Papilloma/carcinoma of the preputial/clitoral gland were noted from 30 mg/kg/day onwards, representing approximately 0.5 or 0.3 times the human daily exposure (based on AUC) at 400 mg/day or 800 mg/day, respectively, and 0.4 times the daily exposure in children (based on AUC) at 340 mg/m<sup>2</sup>/day. The no observed effect level (NOEL) was 15 mg/kg/day. The renal adenoma/carcinoma, the urinary bladder and urethra papilloma, the small intestine adenocarcinomas, the parathyroid glands adenomas, the benign and malignant medullary tumours of the adrenal glands and the non-glandular stomach papillomas/carcinomas were noted at 60 mg/kg/day, representing approximately 1.7 or 1 times the human daily exposure (based on AUC) at 400 mg/day or 800 mg/day, respectively, and 1.2 times the daily exposure in children (based on AUC) at 340 mg/m<sup>2</sup>/day. The no observed effect level (NOEL) was 30 mg/kg/day.

The mechanism and relevance of these findings in the rat carcinogenicity study for humans are not yet clarified.

Non-neoplastic lesions not identified in earlier preclinical studies were the cardiovascular system, pancreas, endocrine organs and teeth. The most important changes included cardiac hypertrophy and dilatation, leading to signs of cardiac insufficiency in some animals.

## **6. PHARMACEUTICAL PARTICULARS**

### **6.1 List of excipients**

Tablet core:

Cellulose microcrystalline  
Crospovidone  
Hypromellose  
Magnesium stearate  
Silica, colloidal anhydrous

Tablet coat:

Iron oxide, red (E172)  
Iron oxide, yellow (E172)  
Macrogol  
Talc  
Hypromellose

### **6.2 Incompatibilities**

Not applicable.

### **6.3 Shelf life**

3 years

#### **6.4 Special precautions for storage**

Do not store above 30°C.

Store in the original package in order to protect from moisture.

#### **6.5 Nature and contents of container**

##### Glivec 100mg film-coated tablets

PVC/alu blisters packs containing 20, 60, 120 or 180 film-coated tablets

Not all pack sizes may be marketed.

##### Glivec 400mg film-coated tablets

PVDC/alu blisters packs containing 10, 30 or 90 film-coated tablets

Not all pack sizes may be marketed.

#### **6.6 Special precautions for disposal**

No special requirements.

### **7. MARKETING AUTHORISATION HOLDER**

Novartis Europharm Limited  
Wimblehurst Road  
Horsham  
West Sussex, RH12 5AB  
UNITED KINGDOM

### **8. MARKETING AUTHORISATION NUMBER(S)**

Glivec 100 mg film-coated tablets:

EU/1/01/198/007

EU/1/01/198/008

EU/1/01/198/011

EU/1/01/198/012

Glivec 400 mg film-coated tablets:

EU/1/01/198/009

EU/1/01/198/010

EU/1/01/198/013

### **9. DATE OF FIRST AUTHORISATION/RENEWAL OF THE AUTHORISATION**

Date of first authorisation: 11.11.2003

Date of first renewal: 07.11.2006

**10. DATE OF REVISION OF THE TEXT**