

# **Annual statistical report for animals used in Ireland under scientific animal protection legislation - 2017**

---

# Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>SUMMARY</b> .....	<b>5</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>RESULTS</b> .....	<b>6</b>
3.1	Species and numbers of uses of animals in procedures .....	6
3.2	Reuse of animals .....	7
3.3	Origin of animals at the first use .....	7
3.4	Project purposes .....	8
3.5	Use of animals to meet legislative requirements .....	12
3.6	Use by genetic status .....	13
3.7	Use in creation of a new genetic line .....	13
3.8	Actual severity of uses .....	14
<b>4</b>	<b>TRENDS</b> .....	<b>14</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b> .....	<b>15</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>APPENDIX</b> .....	<b>16</b>

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The Health Products Regulatory Authority (HPRA) is the state agency with responsibility for regulating human and veterinary medicines, medical devices and other health products. From 1 January 2013, an EU Directive<sup>1</sup> to protect animals used for scientific purposes came into effect in Ireland. In January 2013, the HPRA became the competent authority responsible for the Directive's implementation, and thus has been publishing statistical data on animals used from 2013 onwards.

The Directive is among the world's most advanced pieces of legislation concerning animal welfare. The restrictions and standards set by the Directive aim to enhance animal welfare and ensure that animals are used in studies only when their use is strongly justified and following independent assessment. The Directive firmly anchors in EU Legislation the 3Rs, i.e. Replacement, Reduction and Refinement:

- **Replacement** means that 'alternative' methods are to be used where possible instead of live animals. Examples of alternative methods would include *in vitro* tests such as the use of cell lines, computer simulation and modelling, video material, or the use of invertebrates such as fruit flies or worms.
- **Reduction** means that it must be ensured that the *appropriate* number of animals is used for *each* project. This allows scientists to obtain statistically robust data without using more animals than are necessary.
- **Refinement** means that the animals used are provided with the best possible care and that suffering is reduced to an absolute minimum. Refinement techniques would include, for example, careful handling by trained individuals, the provision of high standards of housing and husbandry to include enrichment materials (e.g. toys and nesting material) and the appropriate use of anaesthesia and pain relief during procedures.

Although complete replacement of animal studies is the ultimate goal of the Directive, this is not currently possible. Where biological processes are not sufficiently understood or are very complex, non-animal research or test methods are often not available. After generating as much information as possible using non-animal alternatives, animal studies can be necessary to fill knowledge gaps in order to safeguard human, animal and environmental health. However, the Directive is a significant tool to protect those animals that are still required.

---

<sup>1</sup> Directive 2010/63/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 September 2010 on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes

The HPRA regulates the sector by means of authorisation at three levels:

1. **Breeder/supplier/user establishments:** Breeders and suppliers of animals, as well as establishments where procedures are performed, must be authorised and are subject to HPRA inspections, including unannounced inspections. In 2017, the HPRA performed 33 inspections, of which 18% were unannounced. The HPRA was satisfied with overall levels of compliance nationally.
2. **Projects:** Scientific procedures involving animals can only take place following a detailed submission of the planned study and subsequent approval by the HPRA on the basis of a favourable harm/benefit analysis.
3. **Individuals:** Any person wishing to carry out scientific procedures involving animals, as well as project managers and those conducting euthanasia in an authorised establishment, must be adequately trained to do so and hold a HPRA individual authorisation.

The HPRA aims to improve the welfare of animals used for scientific purposes and to promote the principles of the 3Rs. Every application received for a project involving animals is subject to a detailed evaluation process based on the 3Rs and requires scientific justification for the research techniques being applied. The likely impact on the animals must be minimised as far as possible by applying refinements and any harms experienced by the animals must be outweighed by the expected benefits of the work. The HPRA checks whether alternative (non-animal) methods are available or appropriate, as alternatives to the use of live animals must be used where possible. In fulfilment of the HPRA's mandate to promote the 3Rs, in 2017 the HPRA continued its efforts to enhance awareness of non-animal alternatives as well as refinements in the conduct of scientific studies in animals through its work, including the regular dissemination of pertinent information to the regulated sector.

The objective of this report is to present statistical data on the number of uses of animals for scientific purposes in Ireland during 2017 in accordance with Article 54(2) of the Directive. This is the fifth report to be prepared by the HPRA since it became the competent authority for the protection of animals used for scientific purposes. The Department of Health published all reports in this area prior to 2013 and any project which was originally authorised by the Department of Health continued in force until the expiry of the authorisation in question. The last of these authorisations expired at the end of December 2017.

As in previous years, the data provided are based on self-declarations by the establishments concerned. The methodology and legal basis for the requirements for data collection were substantially changed with the introduction of the Directive in 2013. For example:

- Previous data (i.e. prior to 2013) reported only on the first use of each animal, whereas this report includes any subsequent uses of the same animals (reuse).
- Each use of an animal must now be assigned to a specific project purpose outlined by the legislation, e.g. basic research, translational research, regulatory use etc.

- The breeding of genetically altered animal lines was not required to be included in previous reporting years and this is now a requirement of the legislation.
- The actual severity experienced by the animals must now be reported under four categories: non-recovery, mild, moderate or severe.

This format meets the requirements for a European database which has been developed by the European Commission. The data for 2017 has been presented in a similar manner to the 2016 report but in a slightly different manner to the previous reports published by the HPRA, following clarification as to the precise formatting requirements.

***Please refer to the Appendix for definitions relating to some of the terminology used in this report.***

## **2 SUMMARY**

- a) In 2017, there were a total of 242,302 uses of animals for procedures, with reuse representing <1% of this number. Mice were the most commonly used species at 85% of the total animal use.
- b) Of the total number of uses of animals in procedures (242,302), some 194,816 (80%) were used for regulatory purposes, which refers to legal requirements to test the safety, quality and potency of medicines (e.g. biological medicines such as vaccines).
- c) Of the total number of uses of animals in procedures (242,302), 7,496 involved genetically altered animals, which represents 3% of all animal use.

### 3 RESULTS

#### 3.1 Species and numbers of uses of animals in procedures

**Table 1** shows the number of uses of animals in procedures. It shows both the first, and all the subsequent uses of the animals that were completed in the year 2017. A single use of an animal extends from the time when the first technique is applied to the animal until the completion of data collection, or when the animal is removed from the project. It should be noted that this does not represent the total number of animals used because some animals are reused (see section 3.2).

Mice (85%) were by far the most commonly used species. The next most common species used were rats and fish. The category 'other fish' (4%) primarily represents wild fish being studied for conservation projects. For example, European eels are a critically endangered species and Irish salmon stocks are critically low, so monitoring projects are required to improve the survival of these species. Likewise, the category 'other birds' (<1%) represents wild bird species being studied in monitoring and conservation projects. Dogs were used exclusively in studies for the development of veterinary medicines, which is expected to be of benefit to those species. It should be noted that the following species have been excluded from this table as they were not used in Ireland in 2017: cats, Mongolian gerbils, hamsters, other rodents, other carnivores, reptiles, rana, other amphibians, cephalopods and non-human primates.

*Table 1: Numbers of uses of animals by species*

Animal species	Number of uses	Percentage
Mice	206908	85%
Rats	16858	7%
Guinea pigs	518	<1%
Rabbits	400	<1%
Dogs	89	<1%
Ferrets	442	<1%
Horses, donkeys & cross-breeds	60	<1%
Pigs	1547	<1%
Goats	11	<1%
Sheep	1321	<1%
Cattle	3244	1 %
Domestic fowl	40	<1%
Other birds	823	<1%
Xenopus	18	<1%

Animal species	Number of uses	Percentage
Zebrafish	236	<1%
Other fish	9787	4%
<b>Total uses</b>	<b>242302</b>	<b>100%</b>

### 3.2 Reuse of animals

**Table 2** shows the proportion of reuse (see Appendix for definition), which represents 0.2% of animal use. Animals are only permitted to be reused on second or subsequent projects if the severity they have experienced to date is 'mild' or 'moderate' (see Appendix for definition of severity categories). It should be noted that the true number of animals that are reused cannot be deduced from this data due to the fact that some animals may be reused more than once (i.e. the figure 586 represents *uses of animals*, not actual numbers of animals reused). Cattle are the species that are reused most frequently. In Ireland, cattle are used only for agricultural research studies (for the benefit of the species or the agricultural industry). The overwhelming majority of projects of this nature are of overall 'mild' severity, with only a tiny minority reaching 'moderate' severity. Since the severity cattle experience on these projects is limited, and they return to full general health between projects, it is acceptable that they are reused in further projects.

Table 2: Reuse

Reuse	Number of uses	Percentage
No	241716	>99%
Yes	586	<1%
<b>Total uses</b>	<b>242302</b>	<b>100%</b>

### 3.3 Origin of animals at the first use

**Table 3** shows the number of animals according to their place of birth, but only includes animals used for the first time as the place of birth is not recorded for animals on their second (or subsequent) use(s). The majority (94%) of animals were born in the EU at a registered breeder, which means that they were born at breeding establishments authorised under the Directive. Animals born in the EU but not at a registered breeder (5%) include wild animals and farm animals. Animals born in the rest of Europe and the rest of the world includes animals that have been obtained from breeding establishments outside the EU (e.g. specific strains of mice not available in the EU) as well as wild animals that have travelled into Ireland from other regions (e.g. migratory birds).

Table 3: Origin of animals

Place of Birth	Number of uses	Percentage
Animals born in the EU at a registered breeder	227994	94%
Animals born in the EU not at a registered breeder	13065	5%
Animals born in rest of Europe	121	<1%
Animals born in rest of world	447	<1%
<b>Total uses</b>	<b>241627</b>	<b>100%</b>

### 3.4 Project purposes

**Table 4** shows the primary purposes for which animals were used. The most common primary purpose at 80% was 'Regulatory use and routine production'. This is defined as the "use of animals in procedures with a view to satisfying legal requirements for producing, placing and maintaining products/substances on the market, including safety and risk assessment for food and feed."

The primary purposes are further sub-divided in Tables 5-11. It should be noted that the following primary purposes were excluded from this table as no uses were reported under these purposes in 2017: 'Preservation of species' and 'Forensic enquiries'.

Table 4: Primary purpose for which animals are used

Primary purpose	Number of uses	Percentage
Basic research	8558	4%
Translational and applied research	29815	12%
Regulatory use and routine production	194816	80%
Protection of the natural environment in the interests of the health or welfare of human beings or animals	8366	3%
Higher education or training for the acquisition, maintenance or improvement of vocational skills	274	<1%
Maintenance of colonies of established genetically altered animals, not used in other procedures	473	<1%
<b>Total uses</b>	<b>242302</b>	<b>100%</b>



**Table 5** shows the number of uses of animals in more specific categories of 'Basic research'. 'Basic research' refers to studies of a fundamental nature, which are designed to add knowledge about the structure, functioning or behaviour of organisms. The most common sub-field of 'Basic research' at 31% was research into ethology, animal behaviour and animal biology. In Ireland, this is mainly accounted for by agricultural research (e.g. nutrition and reproduction studies in farm animals) or research into the behaviour of wild animals (e.g. fish or bird tracking studies). The next most common purpose was research into the nervous system (24%). Nervous system research involves studies that look at particular cells and disorders related to diseases of the brain and spinal cord, e.g. Alzheimer's, Parkinson's, and multiple sclerosis. These types of studies most commonly use mice and rats.

*Table 5: Uses of animals for basic research*

Basic Research	Number of uses	Percentage
Oncology	391	5%
Cardiovascular, blood and lymphatic system	5	<1%
Nervous system	2068	24%
Respiratory system	724	8%
Gastrointestinal system including liver	1111	13%
Musculoskeletal system	192	2%
Immune system	991	12%
Urogenital/reproductive system	238	3%
Sensory organs (skin, eyes and ears)	54	<1%
Endocrine system/metabolism	139	2%
Multisystemic	6	<1%
Ethology / animal behaviour /animal biology	2635	31%
Other basic research	4	<1%
<b>Total uses</b>	<b>8558</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 6** shows the number of uses of animals in more specific categories of 'Translational and applied research', which refers to studies which aim to prevent, diagnose, detect or treat disease in animals or humans as well as studies which aim to improve animal welfare. The most common purpose at 31% was research into human nervous and mental disorders, which includes research into treatments for diseases such as epilepsy, autism, and depression, using mice and rats. The next most common purpose, at 28%, was research into human immune disorders. This research involves studies that look at particular immune system disorders, e.g. diabetes, multiple sclerosis and asthma, and these types of studies most commonly use mice.

Table 6: Uses of animals for translational and applied research

Translational and applied research	Number of uses	Percentage
Human cancer	754	3%
Human infectious disorders	780	3%
Human cardiovascular disorders	1195	4%
Human nervous and mental disorders	9381	31%
Human respiratory disorders	277	<1%
Human gastrointestinal disorders including liver	1114	4%
Human musculoskeletal disorders	747	3%
Human immune disorders	8230	28%
Human urogenital/reproductive disorders	50	<1%
Human sensory organ disorders (skin, eyes and ears)	2057	7%
Human endocrine/metabolism disorders	444	1%
Animal diseases and disorders	2115	7%
Animal welfare	2553	9%
Diagnosis of diseases	118	<1%
<b>Total uses</b>	<b>29815</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 7** shows the breakdown of animal uses for 'Regulatory use and routine production'. Regulatory testing refers to procedures carried out with a view to satisfying legal requirements for producing, placing and maintaining products/substances on the market. The majority of reported uses (>99%) can be attributed to quality control testing.

Table 7: Uses of animals for regulatory testing

Regulatory use	Number of uses	Percentage
Quality control (including batch safety and potency testing)	194247	>99%
Toxicity and other safety testing including pharmacology	540	<1%
Routine production	29	<1%
<b>Total uses</b>	<b>194816</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 8** shows a further breakdown of animal use for 'Quality control' tests. Quality control refers to animals used in the testing of purity, stability, efficacy, and potency parameters of a final medicinal product, in order to satisfy regulatory requirements. The majority of quality control tests were for batch potency (99%), and of these tests, 96% were performed on mice. Batch potency testing is mainly carried out for biological products. It is performed to ensure

that each manufactured batch of product is of a consistent strength, to ensure that it will be both safe for patients, and effective. In relation to pyrogenicity testing, non-animal alternatives have been developed to replace this type of testing for certain medicines which has resulted in a 48% reduction in this type of testing since 2014. However, there are still some limited circumstances in which it is not possible to use a non-animal alternative to pyrogenicity testing, and therefore it is necessary to continue to employ animal-based tests. It should be noted that 'other quality controls' were excluded from this table as no uses were reported under this purpose in 2017.

*Table 8: Uses of animals for regulatory testing - quality control*

Quality control	Number of uses	Percentage
Batch safety testing	1920	<1%
Pyrogenicity testing	312	<1%
Batch potency testing	192015	99%
<b>Total uses</b>	<b>194247</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 9** shows a further breakdown of animal use for 'Toxicity and other safety testing'. The majority (93%) of these tests were for ecotoxicity testing.

*Table 9: Uses of animals for regulatory testing - toxicity and other safety testing including pharmacology*

Toxicity and other safety testing	Number of uses	Percentage
Acute and sub-acute testing	0*	0%
Repeated dose toxicity	0**	0%
Ecotoxicity	500	93%
Target animal safety	40	7%
<b>Total uses</b>	<b>540</b>	<b>100%</b>

\*No uses were reported for 'Acute and sub-acute testing', therefore no additional 'Acute and sub-acute toxicity testing methods' table provided in this report.

\*\*No uses were reported for 'Repeated dose toxicity', therefore no additional 'Repeated dose toxicity' table provided in this report.

**Table 10** shows a further breakdown of animal use for 'Routine production'. These were all for the production of blood based products, which refers to the collection of animal blood for use in other regulatory tests required under legislation for human medicinal products.

Table 10: Uses of animals for routine production

Regulatory use	Number of uses	Percentage
Blood based products	29	100%
Monoclonal antibody by mouse ascites method	0	0%
Other product types	0	0%
<b>Total uses</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 11** shows that animals used for ecotoxicity testing were all reported as being used in acute toxicity tests (the other categories were excluded from this table as no uses were reported). All of the ecotoxicity tests were conducted on fish.

Table 11: Uses of animals for regulatory testing - ecotoxicity

Ecotoxicity	Number of uses	Percentage
Acute toxicity	500	100%
<b>Total uses</b>	<b>500</b>	<b>100%</b>

### 3.5 Use of animals to meet legislative requirements

**Table 12** shows which type of legislation is being satisfied in the performance of the regulatory tests for which animals were used. The vast majority (95%) were performed on medicinal products manufactured for use in humans. The category 'other legislation' is accounted for by the ecotoxicity testing performed pollution control legislation. **Table 13** shows the geographical origin of the legal requirement. The majority of tests (>99%) were performed to satisfy EU legislative requirements.

Table 12: Regulatory testing by type of legislation

Testing by Legislation	Number of uses	Percentage
Legislation on medicinal products for human use	185727	95%
Legislation on veterinary medicinal products	8589	4%
Other legislation	500	<1%
<b>Total uses</b>	<b>194816</b>	<b>100%</b>

Table 13: Origin of legislative requirement

Legislative Requirement	Number of uses	Percentage
Legislation satisfying EU requirements	194784	>99%
Legislation satisfying national requirements only [within EU]	32	<1%
Legislation satisfying non-EU requirements only	0	0%
<b>Total uses</b>	<b>194816</b>	<b>100%</b>

### 3.6 Use by genetic status

**Table 14** shows the number of uses of animals with a genetic alteration, broken down by whether the animal exhibited a harmful phenotype at the time of the study or not. The majority of animals were not genetically altered, with less than 1% of all uses involving animals with harmful phenotypes. (Please refer to Appendix for definitions).

Table 14: Genetic status

Genetic Status	Number of uses	Percentage
Not genetically altered	234806	97%
Genetically altered without a harmful phenotype	5737	2%
Genetically altered with a harmful phenotype	1759	<1%
<b>Total uses</b>	<b>242302</b>	<b>100%</b>

### 3.7 Use in creation of a new genetic line

**Table 15** shows the numbers of animals used in the creation of a new genetically altered line. This represents less than 1% of overall animal use.

Table 15: Creation of a new genetically altered line

Creation of new genetic line	Number of uses	Percentage
No	241716	>99%
Yes	586	<1%
<b>Total uses</b>	<b>242302</b>	<b>100%</b>

### 3.8 Actual severity of uses

**Table 16** shows the reported actual severity experienced by the animals during their uses in procedures. Overall, <1% of uses were classified as non-recovery, 47% were classified as mild, 23% were moderate and 29% were severe. (Please refer to Appendix for definitions). Of the animals that were involved in severe procedures in 2017, 89% were mice. It should be noted that the severe classification was not exceeded, nor did the HPRA grant any exemptions for the severe classification to be exceeded.

Table 16: Classification of actual severity

Severity	Number of uses	Percentage
Non-recovery	2283	<1%
Mild [up to and including]	114261	47%
Moderate	55162	23%
Severe	70596	29%
<b>Total uses</b>	<b>242302</b>	<b>100%</b>

## 4 TRENDS

- a) In 2017, there were a total of 242,302 uses of animals in procedures, which represents a 7% increase on the number of uses reported for 2016 (226,934).
- b) The project purpose 'Regulatory use and routine production' accounted for most animal use in 2017 (80%), as well as accounting for the overall increase in animal use, with 23,840 more uses of animals for regulatory testing in 2017 compared to 2016. Although non-animal alternative tests have replaced the need to use animals for regulatory testing for a number of human and veterinary medicinal products, these non-animal alternatives are not available/approved for all medicinal products which require regulatory testing. Consequently, there remains in some instances a requirement to perform regulatory testing of medicinal products using animals. In addition, a non-animal test can occasionally break down necessitating replacement by an animal test. In 2017, such a breakdown accounted for an unexpected increase in regulatory testing. For 2018, however, a notable reduction in the total numbers is expected due to wider deployment of non-animal alternatives.
- c) As in previous years, in 2017 mice were still the most commonly used species representing 85% of overall animal use. Significant changes in species from 2016 to 2017 include the drop in the number of uses of cattle by 46% and the decreased use

of cats by 100% and dogs by 75%. The decrease in cattle use relates to the completion of a particularly large country-wide cattle study in 2017. The decrease in the use of cats and dogs relates to the movement of research to locations outside of Ireland.

- d) Of the 242,302 procedures completed in 2017, 675 involved reuse of animals. This represents a 67% decrease on reuse reported in 2016 (2,035 uses). However, it is not possible from the data to determine the total numbers of animals reused as animals may be reused more than once.
- e) 'Basic research' has reduced by 70% from the 2016 figures and 'Translational and applied research' has increased by 14%. These changes are unlikely to represent a true shift in the type of research, but rather may represent more consistent reporting by users as they are becoming more acquainted with reporting requirements.
- f) The number of uses of animals reported for 'Maintenance of colonies of established genetically altered animals, not used in other procedures' has reduced by 28% from 2016 to 2017. Whilst the reason behind the significant drop in animal numbers is unclear, it may be potentially due to more consistent reporting by users as they are becoming more acquainted with reporting requirements.
- g) In terms of actual severity of procedures, the majority of procedures are still reported as mild (47% in 2017, 44% in 2016). The proportion of moderate procedures has decreased from 26% (2016) to 23% (2017) of overall use, and the proportion of severe procedures has remained stable at 29% in both 2016 and 2017. Non-recovery procedures remain at around 1% of overall animal use.

## 5 CONCLUSION

In the year 2017, there were 242,302 reported uses of animals in procedures in Ireland. This is a 7% increase on the number of uses reported for 2016, and follows a 1% decrease between 2015 and 2016. Aside from the 14% increase seen in regulatory testing, the number of other uses appears to be quite consistent over the last 4 years. As with previous years, the most commonly reported actual severity remains at mild.

The HPRA's focus will continue to be the reduction of severe suffering, for example, with the application of earlier humane endpoints, as well as ensuring that the 3Rs are applied to all authorised projects. In addition, the HPRA will ensure that procedures are only performed where there is no alternative (non-animal) technique available and where the expected benefits outweigh any possible harms.

## 6 APPENDIX: DEFINITIONS

### Procedures

The Directive defines a procedure as: “any use of an animal for scientific or educational purposes, which may cause the animal a level of pain, suffering, distress or lasting harm equivalent to, or higher than, that caused by the introduction of a needle in accordance with good veterinary practice”. This includes the creation and maintenance of any genetically altered animal lines that may result in pain or distress as per the above definition. Each procedure may consist of several stages or techniques for a single scientific purpose, which is then counted as *one* procedure and reported in the year it was completed.

### Reuse

Reuse means that having fully recovered from a completed procedure, and having been certified by a veterinarian as having returned to full health, that animal can then be enrolled on another project.

### Genetically altered animals

Genetically altered animals are those that have been genetically modified, for example, by the introduction (into an animal) of genetic material from another animal, or by ‘knocking out’ or disrupting an existing gene. Statistics are only collected on genetically altered animals that have an impairment to their well-being from the genetic alteration (a harmful phenotype), or when a new genetic line of animals is being created and the effect on the animals is not yet known.

### Actual severity

At the end of the use of an animal on a procedure, the impact of the procedure must be determined and reported as ‘actual severity’. This means that the *highest severity* that an animal may have experienced throughout the course of their time on procedure (rather than the severity at the end or the average severity throughout) must be recorded. Therefore it is based on the real impact of the procedure, rather than any predicted impact. The legislation defines four categories of actual severity, in order of least to most harmful, as: non-recovery, mild, moderate and severe.

**Non-recovery:** This means the entire procedure is carried out under general anaesthesia and at the end the animal is humanely euthanised rather than being allowed to wake up.

**Mild:** Any pain or suffering experienced by the animal is only slight, minor or temporary so the animal recovers in a short period of time. This would include an injection, a short period of social isolation, or non-invasive imaging under sedation or anaesthesia (e.g. MRI scanning).



**Moderate:** Any suffering experienced by the animal is short-term moderate pain, suffering or distress, or long-lasting mild pain, suffering or distress, or involves a moderate impairment to their well-being. This would include surgery performed under general anaesthesia, repeated injections or blood tests and the induction of tumours that cause moderate impairment to well-being.

**Severe:** Severe procedures indicate a major departure from the animal's usual state of health or well-being, and cause long-lasting moderate pain, suffering or distress, or short term severe pain. This might include toxicity testing under legislation where fatalities may occur, surgical procedures that cause severe post-operative pain and the breeding of animals with serious genetic disorders.

It should also be noted that procedures that involve severe pain, suffering or distress that are *long-lasting* are prohibited under the legislation.